arXiv:1104.2772v1 [gr-qc] 14 Apr 2011

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF MASSLESS DIRAC WAVES IN SCHWARZSCHILD GEOMETRY

JOEL SMOLLER* AND CHUNJING XIE

Abstract. In this paper, we show that massless Dirac waves in the Schwarzschild geometry decay to zero at a rate $t^{-2\lambda}$, where $\lambda = 1, 2, \cdots$ is the angular momentum. Our technique is to use Chandrasekhar's separation of variables whereby the Dirac equations split into two sets of wave equations. For the first set, we show that the wave decays as $t^{-2\lambda}$. For the second set, in general, the solutions tend to some explicit profile at the rate $t^{-2\lambda}$. The decay rate of solutions of Dirac equations is achieved by showing that the coefficient of the explicit profile is exactly zero. The key ingredients in the proof of the decay rate of solutions for the first set of wave equations are an energy estimate used to show the absence of bound states and zero energy resonance and the analysis of the spectral representation of the solutions. The proof of asymptotic behavior for the solutions of the second set of wave equations relies on careful analysis of the Green's functions for time independent Schrödinger equations associated with these wave equations.

1. Introduction and Main Results

The vacuum Einstein equations

$$R_{ij} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

describe the evolution of spacetime with no sources, where R_{ij} is the Ricci tensor of the Lorentzian metric g_{ij}. The Schwarzschild solution of the Einstein equations describes the geometry in the exterior of a static and spherically symmetric black hole (cf. [19]). It can be written as

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2),$$

where the coordinates (t, r, θ, φ) are in the range

$$-\infty < t < \infty,\ 2M < r < \infty,\ 0 \le \theta \le \pi,\ 0 \le \varphi < 2\pi.$$

The stability of black holes is an important problem both mathematically and physically. A first step is to understand the linearized problem. The study of linear stability of scalar waves in the Schwarzschild geometry was initiated by Regge and Wheeler in [23],

---

*Joel Smoller was supported in part by the NSF, grant No. DMS-0603754.

where the mode stability for metric perturbations was discussed. The decay of the solution was proved in [28]. Another approach uses the spherical symmetry in order to produce an expansion into spherical modes, and to study the corresponding ordinary differential equations. This was pursued by Kronthaler in [20, 21], who was able to establish a decay rate in the spherical symmetric case. Another analysis was carried out later in [13, 12] for all spherical modes; they proved a $t^{-(2l+2)}$ local decay where $l = 1, 2, \cdots$ is the angular momentum and improved the decay rate to t^{-3} in the case $l = 0$. The decay rate for solutions with general initial data was achieved in [10, 24, 14]; see also [9, 3, 4, 5, 1, 22, 8] for related results.

It is also of interest to study the linearized problem incorporating various physical fields in the external region of a black hole, for example, the radiation of gravitational, electromagnetic, and Dirac waves. The L^∞_{loc} decay for massive Dirac particles in Kerr-Newman geometry was proved in [16] . Based on the spectral representation of the solutions for the Dirac equations in Kerr geometry, the exact decay rate for massive particles with bounded angular momentum was obtained in [15]. For electromagnetic and gravitational radiation (corresponding to spin $s = 1$ and $s = 2$), numerical studies were given in [26]. In [29], a rigorous proof of mode stability was obtained for the Teukolsky equation in the Kerr geometry. Recently, in [17], Finster and Smoller obtained decay in L^∞_{loc} for the Teukolsky equation for spin $s = 1/2$, 1 and 2 (corresponding to Dirac equations, Maxwell equations, gravitational perturbations) in the Schwarzschild geometry. The decay of Maxwell's equations in the Schwarzschild geometry was studied in [6]. In this paper, we consider *massless* Dirac waves in the Schwarzschild geometry, and we prove that the solutions decay to zero at a rate $t^{-2\lambda}$ where $\lambda = 1, 2, \cdots$ is the angular momentum.

Introducing the Regge-Wheeler coordinate

$$x = r + 2M \ln(r - 2M) - 3M - 2M \ln M, \tag{1.2}$$

the massless Dirac equations in the Schwarzschild geometry (cf. [7]) can be written in Hamiltonian form as

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi = H\Psi, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{E} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{E} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{E} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{E} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathcal{M}_+ & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathcal{M}_- & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_+ \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_- & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \mathcal{M}_\pm = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i\frac{\cot\theta}{2} \pm \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\right), \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr.$$

The remarkable feature of these equations is their separability. If we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 &= R_-(t,x)Y_-(\theta,\varphi),\ \ \Psi_2 = R_+(t,x)Y_+(\theta,\varphi), \\ \Psi_3 &= R_+(t,x)Y_-(\theta,\varphi),\ \ \Psi_4 = R_-(t,x)Y_+(\theta,\varphi), \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

then $Y_-(\theta,\varphi)$ and $Y_+(\theta,\varphi)$ satisfy the pair of equations

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\cot\theta}{2} - i\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right) Y_+ = -\lambda Y_-, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\cot\theta}{2} + i\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right) Y_- = \lambda Y_+, \tag{1.5}$$

and thus Y_+ and Y_- are spin weighted spherical harmonics $-_{1/2}Y_{jm}$ and $_{-1/2}Y_{jm}$, respectively, where $j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots$, see [18, 27]. Furthermore, the eigenvalues λ in (1.5) are of the form

$$\lambda = j + \frac{1}{2} = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

The radial functions R_- and R_+ solve the following first order equations depending on λ

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x})R_{-,\lambda} = \lambda\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}R_{+,\lambda}, \qquad (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x})R_{+,\lambda} = -\lambda\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}R_{-,\lambda}. \tag{1.6}$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study the Cauchy problem for (1.6) with initial data

$$R_{-,\lambda}(0,x) = g_{-,\lambda}(x), \quad R_{+,\lambda}(0,x) = g_{+,\lambda}(x) \tag{1.7}$$

Our main result, namely $t^{-2\lambda}$ decay rate of solutions for Dirac equations, is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *As $t \to \infty$, any solution $R_{\pm,\lambda}$ of the problem (1.6) and (1.7), satisfies*

$$\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-3\lambda-1}R_{\pm,\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le Ct^{-2\lambda}\left\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda+1}\sum_{i=0}^{1}\left(\left|\frac{d^i g_{+,\lambda}}{dx^i}\right| + \left|\frac{d^i g_{-,\lambda}}{dx^i}\right|\right)(\cdot)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{1.8}$$

where we always denote $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$.

We first give the main idea for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Inspired by [7], we introduce

$$Z_{+,\lambda} = R_{-,\lambda} + R_{+,\lambda}, \ \ Z_{-,\lambda} = R_{-,\lambda} - R_{+,\lambda}.$$

Then $Z_{\pm,\lambda}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 Z_{\pm,\lambda}}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 Z_{\pm,\lambda}}{\partial x^2} = -V_{\pm,\lambda}Z_{\pm,\lambda}, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$V_{\pm,\lambda} = \pm\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}\lambda\right) + \frac{\Delta}{r^4}\lambda^2. \tag{1.10}$$

Furthermore, (1.6) and (1.7) give the initial data for $Z_{\pm,\lambda}$ as

$$Z_{\pm,\lambda}(0,\cdot) = g_{-,\lambda} \pm g_{+,\lambda} \qquad \partial_t Z_{\pm,\lambda}(0,\cdot) = \left(\lambda\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} \mp \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)(g_{-,\lambda} \mp g_{+,\lambda}) \tag{1.11}$$

We have the following theorems on the solutions of the problem (1.9) with initial data

$$Z_{\pm,\lambda}(0,x) = u_{\pm,\lambda}(x), \quad \partial_t Z_{\pm,\lambda}(0,x) = v_{\pm,\lambda}(x). \tag{1.12}$$

Theorem 1.2. *The solution $Z_{+,\lambda}$ of the problem (1.9) and (1.12) satisfies for any integer α in the range $1 \le \alpha \le 2\lambda + 1$,*

$$\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-\alpha-\lambda} Z_{+,\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le C t^{-\alpha+1} \|\langle\cdot\rangle^{\alpha+\lambda}(|u_{+,\lambda}| + |u'_{+,\lambda}| + |v_{+,\lambda}|)(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

If $\lambda = 1$, then we have the improved estimate

$$\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-5} Z_{+,1}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le C t^{-3} \|\langle\cdot\rangle^{5}(|u_{+,1}| + |u'_{+,1}| + |v_{+,1}|)(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

We also have the following asymptotic behavior for $Z_{-,\lambda}$.

Theorem 1.3. *As $t \to \infty$, the solution $Z_{-,\lambda}$ of the problem (1.9) and (1.12) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-3\lambda-1}(Z_{-,\lambda}(t,\cdot) - D\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(\cdot))\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ \le& C t^{-2\lambda} \left\| \langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{1} \left| \frac{d^i u_{-,\lambda}}{dx^i} \right| + |v_{-,\lambda}| \right)(\cdot) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = e^{-\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(x)}}{r^2(x)} dx}, \quad \textit{and} \quad D = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) v_{-,\lambda}(x) dx. \tag{1.14}$$

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Indeed, when the initial data for $Z_{-,\lambda}$ has the special form (1.11), the coefficient D in Theorem 1.3 vanishes. This is illustrated by the following straightforward computation,

$$\begin{aligned} D =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2}(g_{+,\lambda} + g_{-,\lambda}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(g_{-,\lambda} + g_{+,\lambda}) \right) \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1} dx \\ =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1} (g_{+,\lambda} + g_{-,\lambda}) dx \\ =& 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

where we use $\left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1} = 0$. Thus we need only prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Before proving these theorems, we make some remarks and outline the proof. We represent the solutions of (1.9) and (1.12) in terms of spectral measures of the associated Schrödinger operators

$$\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda} Z = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} Z + V_{\pm,\lambda}(x) Z. \tag{1.16}$$

Since these spectral measures can be written explicitly in terms of Green's functions of the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}Z = \sigma^2 Z, \tag{1.17}$$

where σ^2 is called the *energy* of solutions of the equation (1.17), a key part of the paper is to study the Green's function of (1.17).

Remark 1.1. *The proof of Theorem 1.2 is inspired by* [13, 12]. *The key ingredient is to justify the absence of negative eigenvalues of $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$ and bounded zero energy solutions for $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$. This is achieved by an energy estimate combined with the asymptotic behavior of zero energy solutions.*

Remark 1.2. *The asymptotic profile for solutions of $Z_{+,\lambda}$ is the bounded zero energy solution for $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$. This is the key difference from this paper and* [13, 12] *in both analytic and phenomenological aspects. The perturbative solution for small energies constructed in* §*6 gives the explicit representation of Green's function.*

Remark 1.3. *For massless Dirac equations, the key observation is that the coefficient in front of the asymptotic profile (cf.* (1.15)*) is exactly zero. Thus the solutions decay at a rate $t^{-2\lambda}$.*

The organization of the paper is as follows. In §2, we give some preliminaries about the potentials $V_\pm$ and the spectra of the Schrödinger operators (1.16) for which there are no negative eigenvalues. The zero energy solutions of the Schrödinger operators are investigated in §3, where we construct a bounded zero energy solution for $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda}$ and show the absence of bounded zero energy solution for $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$ using an energy estimate. In §4, we give a representation of the solution in terms of spectral measures and prove Theorem 1.2 using some techniques in [13, 12]. In §5, we review some basic properties of Jost solutions and Green's functions for equation (1.17), which are used in the representation of solutions. §6 is devoted to the construction of small energy solutions (i.e., solutions of equation (1.17) with small $|\sigma|$) in different spatial regions. The representation of Jost solutions by the solutions constructed in §6 is given in §7. In §8, we first compute the Green's function explicitly and then prove the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the wave equations with potential $V_{-,\lambda}$. In the appendix, three lemmas on the existence and derivatives of solutions for Volterra integral equations are included for the convenience.

Notation: For a given function f, we denote by $O(f(x))$ (respectively $O_{\mathbb{C}}(f(x))$) a real (respectively complex) function that satisfies $|O(f(x))| \leq C|f(x)|$ (respectively $|O_{\mathbb{C}}(f(x))| \leq C|f(x)|$) for some constant C in a specified range of x which will be clear from the context. As in [13], we say that $O(x^\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, is *of symbol type*, if $\frac{d^k}{dx^k}O(x^\gamma) =$

$O(x^{\gamma-k})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. We denote generic constant by C, which may change throughout the paper.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with the following lemma on relations between Regge-Wheeler coordinate and spherical coordinate, whose proof is straightfoward.

Lemma 2.1. *The function* $x \mapsto r(x)$ *which is the inverse function for* $x(r)$ *defined in* (1.2), *has the asymptotic behavior* $r(x) = x(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}))$ *as* $x \to \infty$ *for any* $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ *and* $r(x) = 2M + M\sqrt{e}e^{x/(2M)} + O(e^{x/M})$ *as* $x \to -\infty$*, where the* $O-$*terms are of symbol type.*

Using Lemma 2.1, we have the following results on the asymptotic behavior of $V_\pm$ at $\pm\infty$.

Proposition 2.2. *The asymptotic behavior of* $V_{\pm,\lambda}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\pm,\lambda} &= O(\frac{\sqrt{2}\lambda}{16M^3}e^{1/4}e^{\frac{x}{4M}}), \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty, \\ V_{\pm,\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda(\lambda \mp 1)}{x^2}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})), \quad \text{as } x \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the $O-$*terms are of the symbol type. In addition, we have*

$$V_{+,1} = -\frac{M}{x^3}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})), \tag{2.2}$$

where the $O-$*term is of the symbol type.*

Proof: By straightforward computations, one has

$$V_{\pm,\lambda} = \pm\frac{(3M - r)\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^4}\lambda + \frac{\Delta}{r^4}\lambda^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, for $x \to -\infty$, we have

$$V_{+,\lambda} = \frac{\lambda(3M - r)\sqrt{\Delta} + \lambda^2\Delta}{r^4} \sim \frac{\lambda M\sqrt{2M}\sqrt{M}e^{1/4}e^{\frac{x}{4M}}}{(2M)^4} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}\lambda}{16M^3}e^{1/4}e^{\frac{x}{4M}}.$$

For $x \to \infty$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} V_{+,\lambda} \sim & \frac{-x^2(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}))}{x^4}\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2 x^2(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}))}{x^4} \\ \sim & \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda)}{x^2}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get the asymptotic behavior for $V_{-,\lambda}$.

For (2.2), we use (2.3) to obtain as $r \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}V_{+,1} =&\frac{3M}{r^4} - \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{2M}{r^3} = \frac{3M}{r^4} - \frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}\right) \\ =&\frac{3M}{r^4} - \frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{M}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ =&-\frac{M}{r^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Then the asymptotic behavior (2.2) follows from Lemma 2.1. □

It is easy to see that $\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}$, defined by (1.16), is a self adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Proposition 2.2 shows that $\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}$ is a compact perturbation of the self-adjoint operator $-\Delta$. It follows from Weyl's theorem [25] that the essential spectrum of $\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}$ is continuous and equals the absolute continuous spectrum,

$$\sigma_{ess}(\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}) = \sigma_{ac}(\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}) = [0, \infty). \tag{2.5}$$

For the potential $V_{+,\lambda}$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, then $V_{+,\lambda} > 0$ for $r \in (2M, \infty)$.*

Proof: It is easy to see from (2.3) that $V_{+,\lambda} > 0$ if $r \in (2M, 3M)$. Set $q(r) = \frac{3M-r}{\sqrt{\Delta}}$, then $V_{+,\lambda} = \frac{[q(r)+\lambda]\lambda\Delta}{r^4}$. A direct computation gives

$$\frac{dq}{dr} = \frac{M(\frac{3M}{2} - r)}{\Delta^{3/2}}.$$

Hence $\frac{dq}{dr} < 0$ when $r > \frac{3M}{2}$. Therefore, for $r \geq \frac{3M}{2}$, we have

$$q(r) > \lim_{r\to\infty} q(r) = -1.$$

This implies that $V_{+,\lambda} > 0$ if $\lambda \geq 1$. □

The following lemma proves the absence of eigenvalues for $\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}$.

Lemma 2.4. *$\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}$ has no eigenvalues.*

Proof: That $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$ has no eigenvalues is a consequence of (2.5) and Lemma 2.3.

Let Z_- be an eigenfunction of $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda}$ associated with an eigenvalue $\sigma < 0$. That is,

$$\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} Z_- = \sigma Z_-. \tag{2.6}$$

Set $Z = \lambda^2 \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} Z_- + \lambda Z_-'$. First, we claim that Z is not zero. If $Z = 0$, then $Z_- = Ce^{-\int^x \lambda \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx}$. By the direct computations, $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} Z_- = 0$. Thus

$$\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} Z_- - \sigma Z_- = -\sigma Z_- \neq 0.$$

This contradicts (2.6). Thus Z is not zero. Furthermore, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda} Z = \sigma Z. \tag{2.7}$$

Hence Z is an eigenfunction of $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$ corresponding to a negative eigenvalue σ. This contradicts the assertion that $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$ has no negative eigenvalue. Thus $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda}$ has no eigenvalues. □

3. Zero Energy Solutions

3.1. **Zero Energy Solutions for $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda}$.**

In this subsection, we investigate solutions of the equation $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} Z = 0$.

Let

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) \int_0^x \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,1}(y)} dy, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = e^{-\lambda \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(x)}}{r^2(x)} dx}. \tag{3.1}$$

First, we have the following lemma on the properties of $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,i}$ $(i = 0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.1. *$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}$ and $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}$ have the following properties.*

(1) $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,i} = 0$ *for* $i = 0,\ 1$.

(2) $Q_0 = \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(0)$ *is positive and we can choose* $x_0 \in (0,1)$ *such that*

$$\frac{Q_0}{2} \le \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(x) \le 2Q_0, \quad \text{if } -x_0 < x < x_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x) > 0$ *for* $x > 0$ *and* $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0} < 0$ *for* $x < 0$.

(3) $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,i}$ $(i = 0, 1)$ *have the asymptotic behavior*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\lambda+1)B_0} x^{\lambda+1}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})), \\ \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) &= B_0 x^{-\lambda}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for $x > x_0$ *for some positive constant* $B_0 > 0$ *and any* $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ *and*

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x) = x(1 + \frac{B_1}{x} + O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}})), \quad \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = 1 + O(e^{x/(4M)}), \tag{3.4}$$

for some constant $B_1 < 0$ *for* $x < -x_0$*, where the* $O-$*terms are of the symbol type.*

(4) *Furthermore, the Wronskian of* $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}$ *and* $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}$ *satisfies*

$$W(\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}, \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)\hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,1}(x) - \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = -1. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof: It is easy to check (1), (2) and (4) by straightforward computations. We need only prove (3).

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $r \to 2M$ and $\Delta = O(e^{\frac{x}{2M}})$ as $x \to -\infty$. Thus for $x \to -\infty$,

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = e^{-\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx} = 1 + O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = e^{-\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx} \frac{-\lambda\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} = O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}}).$$

For $x \to \infty$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{r}\sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} - \frac{1}{x} \\ =&\frac{1}{x}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}))\left(1 - \frac{M}{x(1+O(x^{-1+\varepsilon}))}\right) - \frac{1}{x} = O(x^{-2+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\int_1^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x}) dx\right| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_x^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x}) dx = O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})$$

for $x \to \infty$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx + \int_1^x (\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x}) dx + \int_1^x \frac{1}{x} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx + \int_1^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x}) dx + \int_1^x \frac{1}{x} dx - \int_x^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Let $B_0 = e^{-\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} dx - \lambda \int_1^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{r^2} - \frac{1}{x}) dx}$. Then as $x \to \infty$,

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = B_0 e^{-\lambda \ln x + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})} = B_0 x^{-\lambda}(1 + O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})). \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.1), we have

$$\hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(x) = \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,1}(x) \int_0^x \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,1}(y)} dy + \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}.$$

This shows that for $x \to -\infty$, $\hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(x) = 1 + O(e^{\frac{x}{6M}})$. Define $\mathfrak{r}(x) = \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,1}(x)} - 1$. Then $0 < \mathfrak{r}(x) \le Ce^{\frac{x}{4M}}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For the asymptotic behavior of $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}$, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x) =&\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\int_0^x \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,1}(y)}dy = (1+O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}}))\int_0^x 1+\mathfrak{r}(y)dy\\ =&(1+O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}}))\left(x+\int_0^{-\infty}\mathfrak{r}(y)dy+\int_{-\infty}^x\mathfrak{r}(y)dy\right)\\ =&(1+O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}}))\left(x+B_1+O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}})\right)\\ =&x(1+\frac{B_1}{x}+O(e^{\frac{x}{4M}})),\end{aligned}$$

where we denote $B_1 = -\int_{-\infty}^0 \mathfrak{r}(y)dy < 0$. This finishes the proof of the asymptotic behavior of $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}$. □

3.2. **Zero Energy Solutions for $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}$.** In this subsection, we study solutions of the equation $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$. The main goal is to prove the absence of bounded solution for $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *There exist smooth functions $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,i}$ satisfying $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,i} = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, with the asymptotic behavior*

$$\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,0}(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda-1}x^{\lambda}(1+O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})),\quad \hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x) = x^{1-\lambda}(1+O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})), \tag{3.9}$$

as $x\to\infty$, for any $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, where the $O-$terms are of symbol type. Furthermore, $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}$ and $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}$ satisfy

$$W(\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,0},\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}) = \hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,0}(x)\hat{\phi}'_{+,\lambda,1}(x) - \hat{\phi}'_{+,\lambda,0}(x)\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x) = -1.$$

In addition, if $\lambda = 1$, then

$$\hat{\phi}'_{+,\lambda,1}(x) = -\frac{M}{2}x^{-2}(1+O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof: The proof relies on the study on the integral equation for $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}/x^{1-\lambda}$. The property of $V_{+,\lambda}$ obtained in Proposition 2.2 makes the proof for (3.9) similar to that for [13, Lemma 4.1].

Now let's prove (3.10). Indeed, if $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x)$ is a solution of $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$, then $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x) = 1+\int_x^{\infty}(y-x)V_{+,1}(y)\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(y)dy \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, (2.2) and (3.9) give

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}'_{+,\lambda,1}(x) &= \int_x^\infty V_{+,1}(y)\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,1}(y)dy \\ &= \int_x^\infty -My^{-3}(1+O(y^{-1+\varepsilon}))dy = -\frac{Mx^{-2}}{2}(1+O(x^{-1+\varepsilon})).\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

This proves (3.10). □

For solutions of $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$ as $x \to -\infty$, we have

Lemma 3.3. *There exist smooth functions* $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,i}$ *satisfying* $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,i} = 0$ *for* $i = 0$, 1 *with the asymptotic behavior*

$$\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}(x) = x(1+O(e^{\frac{x}{8M}})), \quad \hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x) = 1 + O(e^{\frac{x}{8M}}), \tag{3.13}$$

as $x \to -\infty$ *with* $O-$*terms of symbol type. Furthermore, the Wronskian of* $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}$ *and* $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}$ *is* $W(\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}, \hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}) = -1$.

Proof: For $x \le -1$, if $\hat{p}_{+,\lambda,0}$ and $\psi_{+,\lambda,1}$ satisfy the Volterra integral equations

$$\hat{p}_{+,\lambda,0}(x) = -\int_{-\infty}^x \left(\frac{y^2}{x} - y\right) V_{+,\lambda}(y)(1+\hat{p}_{+,\lambda,0}(y))dy$$

and

$$\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^x (y-x)V_{+,\lambda}(y)\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}(y)dy,$$

then $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}(x) = x(1+\hat{p}_{+,\lambda,0}(x))$ and $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}$ are solutions of the equation $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$. Note that for $a < -1$

$$\sup_{x\in(y,a)} \left|\left(\frac{y^2}{x} - y\right) V_{+,\lambda}(y)\right| \le Ce^{\frac{y}{8M}},$$

then applying Lemma A.1 (in Appendix A) yields

$$|\hat{p}_{+,\lambda,0}(x)| \le Ce^{\frac{x}{8M}}.$$

Using Lemma A.2, we get the asymptotic behavior of $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}$ in (3.13). Similarly, we can show the asymptotic behavior of $\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}$ in (3.13).

As $x \to -\infty$, we have

$$\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}\hat{\psi}'_{+,\lambda,1} - \hat{\psi}'_{+,\lambda,0}\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1} \to -1.$$

Since the Wronskian is a constant, we have $W(\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}, \hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}) = -1$. □

Lemma 3.3 yields the following proposition

Proposition 3.4. *The solutions $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,i}$ for $i = 0$, 1, can be uniquely extended to $\mathbb{R}$ and we have $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,i}(x) = O(x)$ as $x \to -\infty$, where the $O-$term is of symbol type.*

Proof: Since $\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,i}$ $(i = 0, 1)$ are solutions of a linear ODE with regular coefficients, we can extend the solution to the entire real line. As $x \to -\infty$, using Lemma 3.3, there exist constants c_{ij} such that

$$\hat{\phi}_{+,\lambda,i}(x) = c_{i0}\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,0}(x) + c_{i1}\hat{\psi}_{+,\lambda,1}(x).$$

Then Lemma 3.3 implies the proposition. □

The important consequence of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 is the following:

Lemma 3.5. *Any bounded solution of $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$ must be zero.*

Proof: We prove the lemma by contradiction.

Suppose that Z is a nonzero bounded solution for $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $Z(x) \to C_1$ for some constant C_1 and $Z'(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$. Furthermore, if $\lambda \geq 2$, then Lemma 3.2 implies that $Z(x) \to 0$ and $Z'(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$; if $\lambda = 1$, then $Z(x) \to C_2$ for some constant C_2 and $Z'(x) \to 0$. Multiplying the equation $\mathscr{H}_{+,\lambda}Z = 0$ by Z and integrating over $\mathbb{R}$ yield

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-Z'' + V_{+,\lambda}Z)Z dx = -ZZ'\big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|Z'|^2 + V_{+,\lambda}Z^2)dx.$$

Using the asymptotic behavior of Z at $\pm\infty$ gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|Z'|^2 + V_{+,\lambda}Z^2)dx = 0.$$

Since $V_{+,\lambda} > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we conclude that $Z = 0$. □

4. REPRESENTATION OF THE SOLUTIONS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Since $\sigma(\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}) = \sigma_{ac}(\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}) = (0, \infty)$, the solution of the problem (1.9) and (1.12) can be written as

$$Z_{\pm,\lambda}(t) = \cos(t\sqrt{\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}})u_{\pm,\lambda} + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}})}{\sqrt{\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}}}v_{\pm,\lambda}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\cos(t\sqrt{\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}})u_{\pm,\lambda} = -\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{\pm,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)]u_{\pm,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}})}{\sqrt{\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda}}}v_{\pm,\lambda} = -\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{\pm,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)]v_{\pm,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma, \tag{4.3}$$

and $G_{\pm,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)$ is the Green's function of the equation $\mathscr{H}_{\pm,\lambda} Z = \sigma^2 Z$, see [13].

If $\lambda \geq 1$, then using Lemma 3.5 and the techniques in [13] for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2\lambda + 1$ gives

$$\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-\alpha-\lambda} Z_{+,\lambda}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\lambda,\alpha} t^{-\alpha+1} \|\langle\cdot\rangle^{\alpha+\lambda} (\sum_{i=0}^{1} |\frac{d^i u_{+,\lambda}}{dx^i}| + |v_{+,\lambda}|)(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

In case of $\lambda = 1$, since $V_{+,1}$ satisfies (2.2), then one can use Lemma 3.5 and [12, Theorem 1.1] to obtain

$$\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{-5} Z_{+,\lambda}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C t^{-3} \|\langle\cdot\rangle^{5} (\sum_{i=0}^{1} |\frac{d^i u_{+,\lambda}}{dx^i}| + |v_{+,\lambda}|)(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

This proves Theorem 1.2. □

5. Basic Properties of Jost Solutions and Green's Function

In this section, we discuss the Jost solutions and Green's function for the equation

$$\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} \phi = \sigma^2 \phi. \tag{5.1}$$

5.1. **Existence of the Jost Solutions.** Recall that the Jost solutions $f_\lambda^\pm(\cdot, \sigma)$ are defined by $\mathscr{H}_{-,\lambda} f_\lambda^\pm = \sigma^2 f_\lambda^\pm(\cdot, \sigma)$ with the asymptotic behavior $f_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) \sim e^{\pm i\sigma x}$ as $x \to \pm\infty$. We begin with the following lemma on the existence of Jost solutions for any $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \backslash \{0\}$ where $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}\sigma > 0\}$, whose proof is similar to [13, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 5.1. *For every $\sigma \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}_+ \backslash \{0\}$ there exist smooth functions $f_\lambda^\pm(\cdot, \sigma)$ satisfying* (5.1) *and $f_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) \sim e^{\pm i\sigma x}$ and $\partial_x f_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) \sim i\sigma e^{\pm i\sigma x}$ for $x \to \pm\infty$. Furthermore, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the functions $f_\lambda^\pm(x, \cdot)$ and $\partial_x f_\lambda^\pm(x, \cdot)$ are continuous functions in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}_+ \backslash \{0\}$.*

5.2. **The Jost Solutions at Large Energies.** In order to estimate (4.2) and (4.3) for the contributions from large energies, we need the behavior of the Jost solutions for large σ. Let

$$\Gamma_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) = e^{\mp i\sigma x} f_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) \quad \text{and} \qquad q_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) = \Gamma_\lambda^\pm(x, \sigma) - 1. \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\sigma_0 > 0$. Then, for k, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $q_\lambda^+(\cdot, \sigma)$ satisfies the estimate*

$$|\partial_x^k \partial_\sigma^l q_\lambda^+(x, \sigma)| \leq C \langle x\rangle^{-1-k} \sigma^{-1-l} \tag{5.3}$$

for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and all $x \geq 0$. The same bound for $q_\lambda^-(x, \sigma)$ holds if $x \leq 0$.

The proof is based on the estimate for the Volterra integral equation for $q_\lambda^\pm$, which is quite similar to that in [13, Lemma 9.1].

5.3. **The Jost Solution $f_\lambda^-(\cdot,\sigma)$ for Small σ.** In this subsection, we investigate the Jost solution $f_\lambda^-(x,\sigma)$ as $\sigma \to 0$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$. Then the Jost solution $f_\lambda^-(x,\sigma) = e^{-i\sigma x}(1+q_\lambda^-(x,\sigma))$ exists for all $\sigma \in [-\sigma_0,\sigma_0]$ and satisfies the bound*

$$|\partial_\sigma^m \partial_x^k q_\lambda^-(x,\sigma)| \le C(m,k,a)(e^{\frac{x}{2M}} + e^{\frac{x}{8M}}) \tag{5.4}$$

for all $x \in (-\infty, a]$ and all $\sigma \in [-\sigma_0,\sigma_0]$.

Proof: If $q_\lambda^-(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the Volterra equation

$$q_\lambda^-(x,\sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^x K(x,y,\sigma)(1+q_\lambda^-(y,\sigma))dy, \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$K(x,y,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2i\sigma}(e^{2i\sigma(x-y)}-1)V_{-,\lambda}(y) = (x-y)V_{-,\lambda}(y)\int_0^1 e^{2i\sigma(x-y)\theta}d\theta,$$

then $f_\lambda^-(x,\sigma) = e^{-ix\sigma}(1+q_\lambda^-(x,\sigma))$ is a Jost solution of equation (5.1). On $y \le x \le a$, we have

$$|\partial_\sigma^l K(x,y,\sigma)| \le C(l,a)|y-x|^{l+1}|V_{-,\lambda}(y)|. \tag{5.6}$$

Using Proposition 2.2, Lemmas A.1 and A.3 yield

$$\|\partial_\sigma^l q_\lambda^-(\cdot,\sigma)\|_{L^\infty(-\infty,a)} \le C(l,a), \tag{5.7}$$

for all $\sigma \in [-\sigma_0,\sigma_0]$ since $V_{-,\lambda}(y)$ decays exponentially as $y \to -\infty$. Note that for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $|V_{-,\lambda}(y)| \le Ce^{\frac{y}{4M}}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
|q_\lambda^-(x,\sigma)| =& \left|\int_{-\infty}^x K(x,y,\sigma)(1+q_\lambda^-(y,\sigma))dy\right| \\
\le& C\int_{-\infty}^x |V_{-,\lambda}(y)| \cdot |y-x| \cdot |(1+q_\lambda^-(y,\sigma))|dy \\
\le& C\int_{-\infty}^x |V_{-,\lambda}(y)| \cdot |y-x| dy \\
\le& C(e^{\frac{x}{3M}} + e^{\frac{x}{6M}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.6) and (5.7) in the first and second inequality, respectively. Similarly, if we differentiate (5.5) with respect to x and σ, we can show (5.4) using Lemmas A.2 and A.3. □

5.4. **Green's Function and Wronskians.** Standard techniques give that Green's function for (5.1) has the form

$$G(x,x',\sigma)=\frac{f_\lambda^-(x',\sigma)f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)\Theta(x-x')+f_\lambda^-(x,\sigma)f_\lambda^+(x',\sigma)\Theta(x'-x)}{W(f_\lambda^-(\cdot,\sigma),f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma))} \tag{5.8}$$

for $\mathrm{Im}\sigma>0$, where Θ denotes the Heaviside function

$$\Theta(x)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } x>0,\\ 0 & \text{if } x<0,\end{cases}$$

and $W(f_\lambda^-(\cdot,\sigma),f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma))$ is the Wronskian of f_λ^- and f_λ^+. For ease in notation, we denote $W(\sigma)=W(f_\lambda^-(\cdot,\sigma),f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma))$.

Lemma 5.4. *The Wronskian of the Jost solutions, $W(\sigma)$, satisfies*

$$|W(\sigma)|\geq 2\sigma \tag{5.9}$$

for $\sigma>0$.

Proof: The proof is quite similar to that for [13, Lemma 3.2].

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$W(\overline{f_\lambda^+},f_\lambda^+)=W(f_\lambda^-,\overline{f_\lambda^-})=2i\sigma \tag{5.10}$$

which shows that $f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma)$ and $\overline{f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma)}$ are linearly independent for $\sigma>0$. Hence, for any Jost solution f_λ^-, there exist $a(\sigma)$ and $b(\sigma)$ such that

$$f_\lambda^-(x,\sigma)=a(\sigma)f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)+b(\sigma)\overline{f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma)}. \tag{5.11}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}2i\sigma=&W(f_\lambda^-,\overline{f_\lambda^-})(\sigma)=W(af_\lambda^++b\overline{f_\lambda^+},\overline{af_\lambda^+}+\bar{b}f_\lambda^+)(\sigma)\\=&-2i\sigma|a(\sigma)|^2+2i\sigma|b(\sigma)|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|b(\sigma)|^2-|a(\sigma)|^2=1. \tag{5.12}$$

Thus $|b(\sigma)|\geq 1$. However,

$$W(\sigma)=W(f_\lambda^-,f_\lambda^+)(\sigma)=W(af_\lambda^++b\overline{f_\lambda^+},f_\lambda^+)=2i\sigma b(\sigma), \tag{5.13}$$

and thus $|W(\sigma)|\geq 2\sigma$. □

6. Perturbative Solutions for $|\sigma|$ Small

6.1. **Construction of Perturbative Solutions for $|x\sigma|$ Small.** With the aid of Lemma 3.1, we can construct solutions of equation (5.1).

Lemma 6.1. *There exist solutions of equation (5.1), $\phi_{-,\lambda,i}(x,\sigma)$ $(i=0,1)$, which have the form*

$$\phi_{-,\lambda,i}(x,\sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,i}(x)(1+h_{-,\lambda,i}(x,\sigma))$$

and satisfy $W(\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(\cdot,\sigma),\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(\cdot,\sigma)) = -1$. Furthermore, there are constants σ_1, $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$|h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le Cx^2\sigma^2, \quad \text{for } x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1},\delta\sigma^{-1}], \quad \text{and} \quad |h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|\langle x\rangle\sigma^2,$$

for $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1},\delta\sigma^{-1}]$, $\sigma \in (0,\sigma_1)$ and $\delta \in (0,\delta_1)$, where C is a constant independent of σ and δ.

Proof: It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x) \ne 0$ for $x \ne 0$. Let $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$ satisfy the Volterra integral equation

$$h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = \int_0^x \hat{K}(x,y,\sigma)(1+h_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma))dy, \tag{6.1}$$

with the kernel function

$$\hat{K}(x,y,\sigma) = -\sigma^2\left(\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(y) - \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)}\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\right). \tag{6.2}$$

Then $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1+h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))$ is a solution of (5.1). If $y>0$, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\sup_{x\in(y,\delta\sigma^{-1})} |\hat{K}(x,y,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2 y. \tag{6.3}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \sup_{x\in(y,\delta\sigma^{-1})} |\hat{K}(x,y,\sigma)|dy \le C\delta^2.$$

It follows from Lemma A.1 that $|h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C$ for $x \in [0,\delta\sigma^{-1}]$. Combining (6.3) and the integral equation (6.1) gives

$$|h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\int_0^x C\sigma^2 y dy \le C\sigma^2x^2 \qquad \text{for } x \in [0,\delta\sigma^{-1}]. \tag{6.4}$$

If $y<0$, then

$$\sup_{x\in(-\delta\sigma^{-1},y)} |\hat{K}(x,y,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2|y|.$$

It follows from Lemma A.1 and (6.1) that

$$|h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \le Cx^2\sigma^2 \qquad \text{for } x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1},0]. \tag{6.5}$$

Choose $\delta_1 \in (0,1)$ such that $|h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\delta_1^2 \le 1/2$ for $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$ and $\delta \in (0,\delta_1)$.

Define

$$\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma) = \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\left(\int_{\delta\sigma^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}(y)}dy + \int_x^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \frac{1}{\phi^2_{-,\lambda,0}}(y,\sigma)dy\right).$$

A direct computation using (3.2) and (3.3) shows that $\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)$ is well-defined for $x \in (-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1})$. Then $W(\phi_{-,\lambda,0}, \phi_{-,\lambda,1}) = -1$. Hence $\phi_{-,\lambda,1}$ is also a solution of (5.1). Let

$$h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma) = \frac{\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)} - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = (1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))^{-2} - 1. \tag{6.6}$$

Using (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain

$$|\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2 x^2 \qquad \text{for } x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1}].$$

Note that (3.5) also implies that $\left(\frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}}\right)' = -\frac{1}{\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}}$, so

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)\int_x^{\infty} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)dy.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma) = & \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}\left[\int_{\delta\sigma^{-1}}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)dy\right. \\ & \left. + \int_x^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)dy + \int_x^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right] - 1 \\ = & h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) + \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}\int_x^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

For $x \in [x_0, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$, we have

$$|h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2 x^2 + Cx^{2\lambda+1}\int_x^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} Cy^{-2\lambda-2}\sigma^2 y^2 dy \le C\sigma^2 x^2.$$

For $x \in [0, x_0]$, it follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\int_x^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right| \\ \le & \left|\int_x^{x_0} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right| + \left|\int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right| \\ \le & \int_0^{x_0} Cy^{-2}\sigma^2 y^2 dy + \int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} Cy^{-2\lambda-2}\sigma^2 y^2 dy \le C\sigma^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Define $a_0 = \int_0^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}^{-2}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy$. Then (6.8) shows

$$|a_0| \le C\sigma^2. \tag{6.9}$$

For $x \le -x_0$, using (3.2) and (6.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| =&|h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| + \left|Cx\left(a_0 + \int_{-x_0}^{0} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}^{-2}(y)\tilde{h}(y,\sigma)dy\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.+\int_x^{-x_0} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}^{-2}(y)\tilde{h}(y,\sigma)dy\right)\right|\\ \le& C\sigma^2|x|^2 + C|x|\sigma^2 \le C\sigma^2|x|\langle x\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The above estimate also shows that for $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$

$$|h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|\langle x\rangle\sigma^2.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

6.2. **Derivative Estimates for the Perturbative Solutions for Small $|\mathbf{x}\sigma|$.**

It is easy to see that $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))$ with $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$ constructed in Lemma 6.1 satisfies equation (5.1) and initial data

$$\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0,\sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(0) = 0, \quad \partial_x\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0,\sigma) = \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(0) = Q_0. \tag{6.10}$$

Therefore, $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$ satisfies integral equation

$$\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = Q_0x + \int_0^x (V_{-,\lambda}(y) - \sigma^2)\cdot(x-y)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy. \tag{6.11}$$

We first have the following estimate for $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$.

Lemma 6.2. *For $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$ where x_0 is the constant appeared in Lemma 3.1, we have*

$$|\partial_\sigma^i\partial_x^j\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C \tag{6.12}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$|\partial_\sigma^{2k}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|, \quad \textit{and} \quad |\partial_\sigma^{2k+1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|\sigma \tag{6.13}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: (6.12) is the direct consequence of Lemmas A.1-A.3. We prove (6.13) by induction.

Obviously, equation (6.11) is a Volterra integral equation. Using Lemma A.1, we have $|\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \leq C|x|$ for $|x| \leq |x_0| \leq 1$. Differentiating (6.11) with respect to σ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \phi_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial \sigma}(x,\sigma) = &\int_0^x -2\sigma(x-y)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy \\ &+ \int_0^x (V_{-,\lambda}(y)-\sigma^2)\cdot(x-y)\frac{\partial \phi_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial \sigma}(y,\sigma)dy.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma A.1 that $|\partial_\sigma \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \leq C\sigma|x|$. Therefore, (6.13) holds for $k=0$.

Now suppose that the estimate (6.13) holds for $k = 0, \cdots, l-1$. Differentiating (6.11) with respect to σ $2l$-times gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\sigma^{2l}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = &\int_0^x -2l(2l-1)(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l-2}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy \\ &+ \int_0^x -4l\sigma(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l-1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy \\ &+ \int_0^x (V_{-,\lambda}(y)-\sigma^2)(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy.\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Since (6.13) holds for $k = l-1$, we have

$$|\int_0^x -2l(2l-1)(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l-2}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma) - 4l\sigma(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l-1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy| \leq C|x|.$$

Thus $|\partial_\sigma^{2l}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \leq C|x|$ follows from Lemma A.1.

Similarly, differentiating (6.11) with respect to σ $(2l+1)$-times gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\sigma^{2l+1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = &\int_0^x -2l(2l+1)(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l-1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy \\ &+ \int_0^x -2(2l+1)\sigma(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy \\ &+ \int_0^x (V_{-,\lambda}(y)-\sigma^2)(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l+1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy.\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Since $|\partial_\sigma^{2l}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)| \leq C|y|$ for $y \in [-x_0, x_0]$,

$$|\int_0^x -2l(2l+1)(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l-1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma) - 2(2l+1)\sigma(x-y)\partial_\sigma^{2l}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy| \leq C\sigma|x|.$$

Therefore, $|\partial_\sigma^{2l+1}\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \leq C|x|\sigma$. This implies (6.13) holds for $k = l$. □

For $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$, we rewrite $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) =& \frac{\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)} - 1 = \frac{\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)/x}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)/x} - 1 \\
=& \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^{\theta x} ((V_{-,\lambda}(y) - \sigma^2)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma) - V_{-,\lambda}(y)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(y))dyd\theta}{\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)d\theta},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

where we used $\hat{\phi}''_{-,\lambda,0}(x) = V_{-,\lambda}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)$. Using Lemma 6.2, we have the following estimates for $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let x_0 be the constant from Lemma 3.1. For $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$, we have*

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \partial_\sigma^l h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,s)| \le C \tag{6.17}$$

for k, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$; and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{1} |\partial_\sigma^{2k} \partial_x^i h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|, \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{1} |\partial_\sigma^{2k+1} \partial_x^i h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|\sigma \tag{6.18}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$; and

$$|\partial_\sigma^l \partial_x^k h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^{2-l} \tag{6.19}$$

for $l \le 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: (6.17) is a direct consequence of (6.12) and (6.16).

Differentiating (6.16) with respect to σ and applying (6.13) gives

$$|\partial_\sigma^{2k} h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|, \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_\sigma^{2k+1} h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|\sigma. \tag{6.20}$$

Differentiating (6.16) with respect to x and noting that $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(x,\sigma) =& \frac{\int_0^1 (V_{-,\lambda}(\theta x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)h_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x,\sigma) - \sigma^2\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x,\sigma))\theta d\theta}{\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)d\theta} \\
&- \frac{h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}''_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)\theta d\theta}{\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)d\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Then (6.18) follows from (6.20) and differentiation of (6.21).

It follows from Lemma 6.1 that $|h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2$ for $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$. This implies that $|\partial_x h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2$ by (6.21). By induction, we can see that $|\partial_x^k h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma^2$

for $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$. It follows from (6.18) that $|\partial_\sigma h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma$ for $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x \partial \sigma}(x,\sigma) =& \frac{\int_0^1 (V_{-,\lambda}(\theta x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)\partial_\sigma h_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x,\sigma) - \sigma^2 \partial_\sigma \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x,\sigma))\theta d\theta}{\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x) d\theta} \\ &- \frac{\int_0^1 2\sigma \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x,\sigma)\theta d\theta + \partial_\sigma h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}''_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x)\theta d\theta}{\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta x) d\theta}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Using a similar induction method, we can show that $|\partial_x^k \partial_\sigma h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C\sigma$. □

For $x \in [x_0, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$, it is easy to see $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) =& h_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0,\sigma) + \frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(x_0,\sigma)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0) \\ &- \frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(x_0,\sigma)\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0)\frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)} \\ &- \sigma^2 \int_{x_0}^x \left(\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(y) - \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)}\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\right)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma))dy. \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

For $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, -x_0]$, there is a similar representation for $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) =& h_{-,\lambda,0}(-x_0,\sigma) + \frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(-x_0,\sigma)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(-x_0)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(-x_0) \\ &- \hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}(-x_0)\frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(-x_0,\sigma)\frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)} \\ &- \sigma^2 \int_x^{-x_0} \left(\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(y) - \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)}\hat{\phi}^2_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\right)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma))dy. \end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Applying this representation of $h_{-,\lambda,0}$, we have the following estimate for $h_{-,\lambda,0}$.

Lemma 6.4. *For $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, -x_0] \cup [x_0, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$, we have*

$$|\partial_\sigma^{2k} h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|^{2k}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\partial_\sigma^{2k+1} h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \le C|x|^{2k+2}\sigma \tag{6.25}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: We estimate the first three terms on the right hand sides of (6.23) and (6.24) by Lemma 6.3. The fact that

$$|\hat{K}(x,y,\sigma)| \le C|x| \quad \text{for} \quad x \le y \le -x_0 \quad \text{or} \quad x_0 \le y \le x, \tag{6.26}$$

where $\hat{K}$ is defined in (6.2), makes the proof of this lemma similar to that of Lemma 6.2 . □

Furthermore, we have the following estimates for the derivatives of $h_{-,\lambda,i}$.

Lemma 6.5. *For* $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$,

$$|\partial_x^k \partial_\sigma^l h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{2-k}\sigma^{2-l}, \quad \textit{and} \quad |\partial_x^k \partial_\sigma^l h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{2-k}\sigma^{2-l}. \tag{6.27}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: First, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that (6.27) holds on $[-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$ in the case $k = l = 0$. For $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$, the estimate for $h_{-,\lambda,0}$ in (6.27) has already been proved in Lemma 6.3. Then one can prove (6.27) for $x \in [x_0, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$ by a method similar to [13, Proposition 4.1]. Similarly, we can prove the estimate for $h_{-,\lambda,0}$ for $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, -x_0]$.

Let us prove the estimate for $h_{-,\lambda,1}$ for $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, -x_0]$. It follows from (6.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma) = h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\\
&\quad + \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}}(x)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))\left[\int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}^{-2}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right.\\
&\quad \left. + \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \frac{\int_0^1 (1-\theta)\partial_x \tilde{h}(\theta y,\sigma)d\theta}{(\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta y)d\theta)^2}dy + \int_x^{-x_0} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}^{-2}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right]\\
&= O(x^2\sigma^2) + O(x)(1 + O(x^2\sigma^2))[O(\sigma^{4\lambda}) + O(\sigma^2) + O(\sigma^2 x)],
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

where the $O-$terms are of symbol type. Thus differentiation yields the estimate (6.27). The estimate for $x \in [-x_0, x_0]$ is easier, so we omit it. □

Using the estimates in (6.27) for $h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)$, we have the following estimate for $h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)$.

Lemma 6.6. *For all* $\sigma \in [0, \sigma_1]$ *and* $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$, $h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)$ *satisfies the estimates*

$$|\partial_\sigma^{2k} h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \leq C_k\langle x\rangle^{2k} \quad \textit{and} \quad |\partial_\sigma^{2k+1} h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \leq C_k\langle x\rangle^{2k+2}\sigma \tag{6.29}$$

for $k \leq \lambda - 1$ *and*

$$|\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda+l} h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)| \leq C_l\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\sigma^{-l} \tag{6.30}$$

for $l \geq 0$.

Proof: The proof for the estimates (6.29) and (6.30) on $[x_0, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$ is similar to that in [13, Lemma 4.5]. Here we give the proof for the estimates (6.29) and (6.30) for $x \in$

$[-\delta\sigma^{-1}, -x_0]$. For $x \in [-\delta\sigma^{-1}, -x_0]$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^k h_{-,\lambda,1}}{\partial\sigma^k}(x,\sigma) &= \frac{\partial^k h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial\sigma^k}(x,\sigma) + \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}}(x) \frac{\partial^l}{\partial\sigma^l}(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)) \times \\ &\left[\frac{\partial^{k-l}}{\partial\sigma^{k-l}} \int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy + \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \frac{\int_0^1 (1-\theta)\partial_\sigma^{k-l}\partial_x \tilde{h}(\theta y,\sigma)d\theta}{(\int_0^1 \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(\theta y)d\theta)^2} dy \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\partial^{k-l}}{\partial\sigma^{k-l}} \int_x^{-x_0} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{4} I_i\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{k-l}}{\partial\sigma^{k-l}} \int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy &= \int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y) \frac{\partial^{k-l}\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial\sigma^{k-l}}(y,\sigma)dy \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{k-l-1} \frac{d^j}{d\sigma^j}(\hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(\delta\sigma^{-1})\partial_\sigma^{k-l-1-j}\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(\delta\sigma^{-1},\sigma)(-\delta\sigma^{-2}))\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{x_0}^{\delta\sigma^{-1}} \hat{\phi}^{-2}_{-,\lambda,0}(y)\tilde{h}_{-,\lambda,0}(y,\sigma)dy \right| \le C\sigma^2.$$

If $k = 2\lambda$, then it follows from (6.25) that

$$|I_2| \le C\langle x\rangle \left(\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\sigma^2 + \sum_{l=0}^{2\lambda-1} \langle x\rangle^l + \sum_{l=0}^{2\lambda} \left[\langle x\rangle^l \sum_{j=0}^{2\lambda-l-1} \partial_\sigma^j (\sigma^{2(\lambda+1)}\sigma^{l+1+j-2\lambda}\sigma^{-2}) \right] \right) \le C\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}.$$

The estimates for the terms I_1, I_3, and I_4 are much easier, so we omit the proofs. This proves (6.30) for $l = 0$. The proof for (6.30) with $l \ge 1$ and (6.29) follows from the same strategy combined with (6.25). $\square$

As in [13], we introduce the following notation.

Definition 6.7. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we denote $f \in S_k(x)$ if, for a constant $s > 0$,*

1. *$f : (0, s) \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth,*
2. *$|f^{(l)}(x)| \le C_l$ for $l \le k$ and all $x \in (0, s)$,*
3. *$|f^{(k+l)}(x)| \le C_l x^{-l}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in (0, s)$.*

We write $f(x) = O_{2k}(1)$ if $f \in S_{2k}(x)$ also satisfies $\lim_{x\to 0+} f^{(2l-1)}(x) = 0$ for all $1 \le l \le k$. Similarly, we write $f(x) = O_{2k+1}(x)$ if $f \in S_{2k+1}(x)$ also satisfies $\lim_{x\to 0+} f^{(2l)}(x) = 0$ for all $1 \le l \le k$. If, in addition, $f(x) \in O_{2k}(1)$ (respectively $O_{2k+1}(x)$) satisfies $f(0) = 0$ (respectively $f'(0) = 0$), then we write $f(x) \in O^0_{2k}(1)$ (respectively $O^0_{2k+1}(x)$).

The properties of the functions can be stated via these notations as

Proposition 6.8. *For a given function* $f : (0, s) \to \mathbb{R}$*, one has*

1. *If* $f \in O^0_{2k}(1)$*, then* $\frac{f(x)}{x} \in O_{2k-1}(x)$*.*
2. *If* $f \in O_{2k+1}(x)$*, then* $f'(x) \in O_{2k}(1)$*.*
3. *If* $f \in O_{2k}(1)$ *and* $g \in O_{2l}(1)$ *for some* $k \geq l$*, then* $fg \in O_{2l}(1)$*.*
4. *If* $f \in O_{2k+1}(\sigma)$ *and* $g \in O_{2l}(1)$ *for some* $k \geq l$*, then* $fg \in O_{2l+1}(\sigma)$*.*

Proof: (2) and (3) are direct consequences of the definitions. In order to prove (1), we need only to use the following identity: for $f \in O^0_{2k}(1)$,

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \int_0^1 f'(\theta x) d\theta.$$

Using Leibniz' rule, we can check easily that $\frac{d^{2i}}{dx^{2i}}(fg) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, l$. We need only to check that $\frac{d^{2l+1}}{dx^{2l+1}}(fg)$ is bounded. This follows from the estimate

$$|\frac{d^{2l+1}}{dx^{2l+1}}(fg)| = |\sum_{i=0}^{2l+1} \frac{(2l+1)!}{i!(2l+1-i)!} \frac{d^i f}{dx^i} \frac{d^{2l+1-i} g}{dx^{2l+1-i}}| \leq C|x| \cdot |x|^{-1} + C \leq C.$$

□

Corollary 6.9. $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \cdot) = O_{2k}(1)$ *and* $\partial_x \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \cdot) \in O_{2k}(1)$ *for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*.* $\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \cdot) \in O_{2\lambda}(1)$ *and* $\partial_x \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \cdot) \in O_{2\lambda}(1)$ *for all* $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0)$*.*

Proof: It is easy to see that $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(0)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma)) = 0$ and

$$\partial_x \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma) = \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(0)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma)) + \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(0) \partial_x h_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma) = Q_0.$$

Thus $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma) \in O_{2k}(1)$ and $\partial_x \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0, \sigma) \in O_{2k}(1)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \sigma) = \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \sigma))$, it follows from Lemma 6.6 that $\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \sigma) \in O_{2\lambda}(1)$. Moreover, using $W(\phi_{-,\lambda,0}, \phi_{-,\lambda,1}) = -1$ gives

$$\partial_x \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \sigma) = \frac{(\hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0, \sigma)) + \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0) \partial_x h_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0, \sigma)) \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \sigma) - 1}{\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0)(1 + h_{-,\lambda,0}(x_0, \sigma))}.$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 6.3 that $\partial_x \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x_0, \sigma) \in O_{2\lambda}(1)$. □

6.3. **Perturbative Solutions for $|\mathbf{x}\sigma|$ Large.** We construct solutions of equation (5.1) in the region $|x\sigma|$ large. The method is inspired by [13]. We first rescale equation (5.1) by introducing a new independent variable $z = \sigma x$. Upon setting $\tilde{\phi}(z, \sigma) = \phi(\sigma^{-1} z, \sigma)$, equation (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\phi}'' + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{z^2}\right) \tilde{\phi} = \sigma^{-2} U_{-,\lambda}(\sigma^{-1} z) \tilde{\phi} \tag{6.33}$$

where

$$U_{-,\lambda}(x) = V_{-,\lambda}(x) - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{x^2}.$$

Note that the differential equation

$$w'' + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{z^2}\right) w = 0$$

is a standard Bessel equation, which has the fundamental system $\{\sqrt{z}J_{\lambda+1/2}(z), \sqrt{z}Y_{\lambda+1/2}\}$ where $J_{\lambda+1/2}$ and $Y_{\lambda+1/2}$ are Bessel functions (cf. [2]). We have the following lemma on the solutions of (6.33), whose proof is similar to the combination of [13, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2].

Lemma 6.10. *There exists a smooth solution $\varphi_\lambda(z,\sigma)$ of the equation (6.33) such that*

$$\varphi_\lambda(z,\sigma) = \beta_\lambda \sqrt{z} H_{\lambda+1/2}(z)(1 + \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(z,\sigma)) \tag{6.34}$$

where $H_{\lambda+1/2} = J_{\lambda+1/2} + iY_{\lambda+1/2}$ is a Hankel function and $\beta_\lambda = i\sqrt{\pi/2}e^{i\pi\lambda/2}$. The function $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(z,\sigma)$ satisfies

$$|\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(z,\sigma)| \le C\langle z\rangle^{-2+\varepsilon}\sigma^{1-(2\lambda+3)\varepsilon} \tag{6.35}$$

for all $\sigma \in (0,\sigma_2)$ and $z \in [\sigma^\varepsilon, \infty)$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2\lambda+3})$. Furthermore,

$$|\partial_z^k \partial_\sigma^l \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(z,\sigma)| \le C_{k,l} z^{-k} \sigma^{1-(2\lambda+3)\varepsilon - l}$$

for all $z \in [\sigma^\varepsilon, 1]$ and

$$|\partial_z^k \partial_\sigma^l \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(z,\sigma)| \le C_{k,l} z^{-2+\varepsilon-k} \sigma^{1-\varepsilon-l}$$

for all $z \in [1,\infty)$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2\lambda+3})$.

7. REPRESENTATION OF THE JOST SOLUTIONS

7.1. **Representation of f_λ^+.** Note that $\beta_\lambda\sqrt{z}H_{\lambda+1/2}(z) \sim e^{iz}$ ([2]), thus the solution φ_λ constructed in Lemma 6.10 has the asymptotic behavior $\varphi_\lambda(z,\sigma) \sim e^{iz}$ for $z \to \infty$. This implies

$$f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma) = \varphi_\lambda(\sigma x, \sigma). \tag{7.1}$$

Thus we have found a representation of the Jost solution $f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)$ which is valid for all $\sigma \in (0,\sigma_2)$ and all x with $\sigma^{-1+\varepsilon} \le x < \infty$. By appropriately choosing ε, we can always accomplish $\sigma^{-1+\varepsilon} \le \delta\sigma^{-1}$ for any given $\delta > 0$ and all $\sigma \in (0,\sigma_0)$ for some $\sigma_0 \le \min\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}$. Thus, at $x = \sigma^{-1+\varepsilon}$ for $\sigma \le \sigma_0$, we can represent the solution $f_\lambda^+(\cdot,\sigma)$ by $\phi_{-,\lambda,i}(\cdot,\sigma)$ $(i = 0,1)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *The Wronskians* $c^+_{\lambda,i}(\sigma) = W(f^+_\lambda(\cdot,\sigma), \phi_{-,\lambda,i}(\cdot,\sigma))$ *(*$i = 0,1$*) for* $\sigma \in (0,\sigma_0)$ *have the asymptotic expansion*

$$c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) = i\frac{\alpha_{\lambda,0}\beta_\lambda}{B_0}\sigma^{-\lambda}(1 + O(\sigma^\varepsilon) + iO(\sigma^{(2\lambda+2)\varepsilon})), \tag{7.2}$$

and

$$c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma) = -\alpha_{\lambda,1}\beta_\lambda B_0\sigma^{\lambda+1}(1 + O(\sigma^\varepsilon) + iO(\sigma^{-2\lambda\varepsilon})), \tag{7.3}$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ *where* $O-$*terms are of symbol type and* $\alpha_{\lambda,i}$ *(*$i = 0,1$*) are nonzero constants given by*

$$\alpha_{\lambda,1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{3}{2})2^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \alpha_{\lambda,0} = -\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})2^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi}. \tag{7.4}$$

The proof of Lemma 7.1 is similar to that for [13, Lemma 6.1]. The only difference between these two lemmas is the constant B_0 in (7.2) and (7.3), which comes from (3.3).

7.2. Representation of $f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)$.

In Lemma 5.3, we constructed $f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)$ for $x \in (-\infty, a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that the linearly independent solutions $\phi_{-,\lambda,i}(x,\sigma)$ $(i = 0,1)$ are also constructed on $[-\delta\sigma^{-1}, \delta\sigma^{-1}]$. Therefore, we can represent the Jost solution $f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)$ by the linearly independent solutions $\phi_{-,\lambda,i}$ $(i = 0,1)$.

Lemma 7.2. *The Wronskians* $c^-_{\lambda,i}(\sigma) = W(f^-_\lambda(\cdot,\sigma), \phi_{-,\lambda,i}(\cdot,\sigma))$ *(*$i = 0,1$*) have the asymptotic behavior*

$$c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) = O_{2k}(1) + iO_{2k+1}(\sigma) \quad \textit{and} \quad c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma) = O^0_{2\lambda}(1) + iO_{2\lambda+1}(\sigma) \tag{7.5}$$

for $\sigma \in (0,\sigma_0)$ *and any* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*. Furthermore, we have*

$$c^-_{\lambda,0}(0) = 1, \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{dc^-_{\lambda,1}}{d\sigma}(0) = i. \tag{7.6}$$

Proof: The proof for (7.5) except for $c^-_{\lambda,1}(0) = 0$ is quite similar to the proof of [13, Lemma 6.4]. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that $f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)$ is smooth near $\sigma = 0$ and by definition $f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma) = \overline{f^-_\lambda(x,-\sigma)}$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, this implies that for fixed x $\mathrm{Re}f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma) = O_{2k}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Im}f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma) = O_{2k+1}(\sigma)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Corollary 6.9 implies $\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0,\sigma) = O_{2k}(1)$ and $\partial_x\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(0,\sigma) = O_{2k}(1)$. This shows that

$$c^-_{\lambda,0} = O_{2k}(1)(O_{2k}(1) + iO_{2k+1}(\sigma)) = O_{2k}(1) + iO_{2k+1}(\sigma)$$

Similarly, Corollary 6.9 and Proposition 6.8 imply that

$$c^-_{\lambda,1} = O_{2\lambda}(1)(O_{2k}(1) + iO_{2k+1}(\sigma)) = O_{2\lambda}(1) + iO_{2\lambda+1}(\sigma).$$

We now prove $c^-_{\lambda,1}(0) = 0$ and (7.6). Using (5.2) and Lemma 6.1 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) &= f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)\frac{\partial \phi_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(x,\sigma) - \frac{\partial f^-_\lambda}{\partial x}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\\
&= e^{-i\sigma x}(1+q^-_\lambda(x,\sigma))(\hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1+h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)) + \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)\frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(x,\sigma))\\
&\quad - \left[(-i\sigma)(1+q^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)) + \frac{\partial q^-_\lambda}{\partial x}(x,\sigma)\right] e^{-i\sigma x}\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)(1+h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2} I_{0i}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.7}
$$

Choose $x = -\sigma^{-\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon}) = -\sigma^{-\varepsilon}(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon)), \quad \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,0}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon}) = 1 + O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}). \tag{7.8}
$$

It follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.5 that

$$
|h_{-,\lambda,0}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon},\sigma)| \le C\sigma^{2-2\varepsilon}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,0}}{\partial x}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon},\sigma)\right| \le C\sigma^{2-\varepsilon}. \tag{7.9}
$$

Furthermore, Lemma 5.3 gives

$$
|q^-_\lambda(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon},\sigma)| \le Ce^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial q^-_\lambda}{\partial x}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon},\sigma)\right| \le Ce^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}. \tag{7.10}
$$

Therefore, combining (7.8)-(7.10) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{01} &= e^{i\sigma^{1-\varepsilon}}(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}))[(1+O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}))(1+O(\sigma^{2-2\varepsilon})) - \sigma^{-\varepsilon}(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon))O(\sigma^{2-\varepsilon})]\\
&= 1 + O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{1-\varepsilon}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{02} &= -\left[(-i\sigma)(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}})) + O_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}})\right] e^{i\sigma^{1-\varepsilon}}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon})(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon))(1+O(\sigma^{2-2\varepsilon}))\\
&= -i\sigma^{1-\epsilon}(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^\varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the estimates for I_{01} and I_{02} gives $c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) = 1 + O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{1-\varepsilon})$. Thus $c^-_{\lambda,0}(0) = 1$. This finishes the first part of (7.6).

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma) =& f^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)\frac{\partial \phi_{-,\lambda,1}}{\partial x}(x,\sigma) - \frac{\partial f^-_\lambda}{\partial x}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\\
=& e^{-i\sigma x}(1+q^-_\lambda(x,\sigma))\left[\hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,1}(x)(1+h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)) + \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,1}}{\partial x}(x,\sigma)\right]\\
&- \left[(-i\sigma)(1+q^-_\lambda(x,\sigma)) + \frac{\partial q^-_\lambda}{\partial x}(x,\sigma)\right] e^{-i\sigma x}\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)(1+h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma))\\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{2} I_{1i}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

Choose $x = -\sigma^{-\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon}) = 1 + O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\phi}'_{-,\lambda,1}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon}) = O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}). \tag{7.12}$$

It follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.5 that

$$|h_{-,\lambda,1}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon},\sigma)| \le C\sigma^{2-2\varepsilon}, \qquad \left|\frac{\partial h_{-,\lambda,1}}{\partial x}(-\sigma^{-\varepsilon},\sigma)\right| \le C\sigma^{2-\varepsilon}. \tag{7.13}$$

Combining (7.12), (7.13), and (7.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} =& e^{i\sigma^{1-\varepsilon}}(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}))[O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}})(1+O(\sigma^{2-2\varepsilon})) + (1+O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}))O(\sigma^{2-\varepsilon})]\\
=& O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{2-\varepsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12} =& -\left[(-i\sigma)(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}})) + O_{\mathbb{C}}(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}})\right] e^{-i\sigma^{1-\varepsilon}}(1+O(e^{-\frac{\sigma^{-\varepsilon}}{8M}}))(1+O(\sigma^{2-2\varepsilon}))\\
=& i\sigma(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{1-\varepsilon})).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $c^-_{\lambda,1}(0) = 0$. Furthermore, differentiating (7.11) and evaluating the resulting expression at $x = -\sigma^{-\varepsilon}$ implies that

$$\frac{dc^-_{\lambda,1}}{d\sigma} = i(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{1-\varepsilon})).$$

This finishes the proof of (7.6). □

8. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF $Z_{-,\lambda}$

8.1. **Green's Function near Zero Energy.** The definition of $c^\pm_{\lambda,i}$ implies

$$f^\pm_\lambda(x,\sigma) = -c^\pm_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma) + c^\pm_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma), \tag{8.1}$$

where we used $W(\phi_{-,\lambda,0},\phi_{-,\lambda,1}) = -1$. Therefore,

$$W(f^-_\lambda, f^+_\lambda) = c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) - c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma).$$

Set

$$A_{jk}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{c^-_{\lambda,j}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,k}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) - c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\right].$$

Then we have the following lemma

Lemma 8.1. *The functions A_{jk} are of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{00}(\sigma) &= -\frac{1}{\sigma} + S_{2\lambda-1}(\sigma), \quad A_{01}(\sigma) = O(\sigma^{2\lambda-1}), \\ A_{10}(\sigma) &= O(\sigma^{2\lambda}), \quad A_{11}(\sigma) = O(\sigma^{2\lambda+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

where $S_{2\lambda-1}$ is given in Definition 6.7 and the $O-$terms are of symbol type.

Proof: We prove the lemma by straightforward computation. Note that

$$A_{00}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) - c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\right] = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{1}{\frac{c^-_{\lambda,1}}{c^-_{\lambda,0}} - \frac{c^+_{\lambda,1}}{c^+_{\lambda,0}}}\right].$$

Using Proposition 6.8 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c^-_{\lambda,1}}{c^-_{\lambda,0}} =& \frac{c^-_{\lambda,1}\overline{c^-_{\lambda,0}}}{|c^-_{\lambda,0}|^2} = (i\sigma + O^0_{2\lambda}(1) + iO^0_{2\lambda+1}(\sigma))(1 + O^0_{2k}(1) + iO_{2k+1}(\sigma)) \\ =& i\sigma + iO^0_{2\lambda+1}(\sigma) + \sigma^2 S_{2\lambda-1}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 7.1 that

$$\frac{c^+_{\lambda,1}}{c^+_{\lambda,0}} = -i\frac{\alpha_{\lambda,1}}{\alpha_{\lambda,0}}B_0^2\sigma^{2\lambda+1}(1 + O(\sigma^\varepsilon) + iO(\sigma^{-2\lambda\varepsilon})),$$

and so

$$A_{00} = -\frac{1}{\sigma}(1 + \sigma S_{2\lambda-1}(\sigma) + O(\sigma^{2\lambda})) = -\frac{1}{\sigma} + S_{2\lambda-1}(\sigma).$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} A_{01}(\sigma) =& \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) - c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\right] \\ =& \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{(1 + O^0_{2\lambda}(1) + iO_{2\lambda+1}(\sigma))B_0\alpha_{\lambda,1}\beta_\lambda\sigma^{\lambda+1}(1 + O(\sigma^\varepsilon) + iO(\sigma^{-2\lambda\varepsilon}))}{-\frac{1}{B_0}\alpha_{\lambda,0}\beta_\lambda\sigma^{-\lambda+1}(1 + iO(\sigma^\varepsilon))}\right] \\ =& O(\sigma^{2\lambda-2\lambda\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

so if $\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2\lambda}$, then $A_{01} = O(\sigma^{2\lambda-1})$.

Furthermore, we have

$$A_{10}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) - c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\right] = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{1}{1 - \frac{c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\frac{c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}{c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}}\right]$$

$$= \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{1}{1 + \frac{(1+O^0_{2\lambda}(1)+iO_{2\lambda+1}(\sigma))\alpha_{\lambda,1}B_0^2\sigma^{2\lambda}(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon)+iO(\sigma^{-2\lambda\varepsilon}))}{(1+O^0_{2\lambda}(1)+iO_{2\lambda-1}(\sigma))\alpha_{\lambda,0}(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon)+iO(\sigma^{(2\lambda+2)\varepsilon}))}}\right]$$

$$= \mathrm{Im}\left[1 - i\frac{\alpha_{\lambda,1}}{\alpha_{\lambda,0}}B_0^2\sigma^{2\lambda}(1 + O(\sigma^\varepsilon) + iO(\sigma^{-2\lambda\varepsilon}))\right] = O(\sigma^{2\lambda}).$$

Finally,

$$A_{11}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma) - c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\right] = \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{\frac{c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}{c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}}{1 - \frac{c^-_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}{c^-_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}\frac{c^+_{\lambda,1}(\sigma)}{c^+_{\lambda,0}(\sigma)}}\right]$$

$$= \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{\frac{\alpha_{\lambda,1}B_0\beta_\lambda\sigma^{\lambda+1}(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon)+iO(\sigma^{-2\lambda\varepsilon}))}{i\frac{1}{B_0}\alpha_{\lambda,0}\sigma^{-\lambda}(1+O(\sigma^\varepsilon)+iO(\sigma^{(2\lambda+2)\varepsilon}))}}{1 - O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{2\lambda+1-2\lambda\varepsilon-1})}\right]$$

$$= O(\sigma^{2\lambda+1})$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

8.2. **Oscillatory Integral Estimates at Small Energies.** For small energy contributions in (4.2) and (4.3), it is useful to note that, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^\pm_\lambda(x, -\sigma) = \overline{f^\pm_\lambda(x, \sigma)}$ by definition of the Jost solutions. This implies

$$G_{-,\lambda}(x, x', -\sigma) = \overline{G_{-,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)}$$

and hence $\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)]$ is an odd function. Thus we have

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)]d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)]d\sigma$$

and similarly for the sine integral. Similarly, we can extend $\phi_{\pm,\lambda,i}$ and $c^\pm_{\lambda,i}(\sigma)$ to negative σ according to

$$\phi_{\pm,\lambda,i}(x, -\sigma) = \phi_{\pm,\lambda,i}(x, \sigma), \quad c^\pm_{\lambda,i}(-\sigma) = \overline{c^\pm_{\lambda,i}(\sigma)}.$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1/2, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{8.3}$$

and set $\chi_s(x) = \chi\left(\frac{x}{s}\right)$ for any $s > 0$.

For $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$ as in the first paragraph in §7.1, define $F(x, x', \sigma) = \chi_\delta(\sigma x)\chi_\delta(\sigma x')\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)$. It is easy to see that $F = 0$ if $|\sigma| > \mathfrak{s}(x, x') := \min\{\delta|x|^{-1}, \delta|x'|^{-1}, \sigma_0\}$. Furthermore, we have

$$|\partial_3^k F(x, x', \sigma)| \le C(\langle x\rangle^k + \langle x'\rangle^k). \tag{8.4}$$

Lemma 8.2. *Let* $J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x, x', \sigma)]F(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma$. *Then*

$$|J_1| \le C\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}t^{-2\lambda}.$$

Proof: By the definition of the Green's function in (5.8), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 =& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)A_{00}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x, \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x', \sigma)F(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)(\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x', \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x, \sigma)\Theta(x - x') \\
&\qquad + \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x, \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x', \sigma)\Theta(x' - x))F(x, x', \sigma)dx'd\sigma \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)A_{10}(\sigma)(\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x', \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x, \sigma)\Theta(x - x') \\
&\qquad + \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x, \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x', \sigma)\Theta(x' - x))F(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)A_{11}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x, \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x', \sigma)F(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma \\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{4} J_{1i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega_1(x, x', \sigma) = \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x, \sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x', \sigma)F(x, x', \sigma)$. Then it follows from Lemma 6.6 and (8.4) that

$$|\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}\omega_1(x, x', \sigma)| \le C\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.$$

Integrating by parts 2λ times gives

$$\bar{J}_{11} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(\sigma t)\omega_1(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma = \frac{(-1)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(\sigma t)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}\omega_1(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma.$$

Therefore,

$$|\bar{J}_{11}| \le Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.$$

Since $A_{00} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \in S_{2\lambda-1}(\sigma)$, integrating by parts 2λ times yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{J}_{11}| =& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)(A_{00} + \frac{1}{\sigma})\omega_1(x, x', \sigma)d\sigma\right| \\
=& \left|\frac{(-1)^{2\lambda}}{t^{2\lambda}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(\sigma t)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}(\sigma(A_{00} + \frac{1}{\sigma})\omega_1(x, x', \sigma))d\sigma\right| \\
\le& Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|J_{11}| = |\bar{J}_{11} + \tilde{J}_{11}| \leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}. \tag{8.5}$$

In view of $A_{01}(\sigma) = O(\sigma^{2\lambda-1})$ and $|\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma| \\
=&t^{-2\lambda}|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(t\sigma)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}(\sigma A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma))d\sigma| \\
\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\Bigg|\int_{-\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}^{\mathfrak{s}(x,x')} \cos(t\sigma)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda}\partial_\sigma^k(\sigma A_{01}(\sigma))\times \\
&\quad\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda-k}(\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)(1+h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))F(x,x',\sigma))d\sigma\Bigg| \\
\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda}\int_{-\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}^{\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}\sigma^{2\lambda-k}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}(\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda-k}+\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda-k})d\sigma \\
\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

Similarly,

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma\cos(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma| \leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{\lambda+1}.$$

Thus $|J_{12}| \leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{\lambda+1}$. Similarly, using integration by parts, we have

$$\sum_{i=3}^{4}|J_{1i}| \leq C\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}t^{-2\lambda}.$$

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 8.3. *Let* $J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma$. *Then*

$$\left|J_2 + \pi\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x')\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\right| \leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}.$$

Proof: Straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 = &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)A_{00}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)(\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\Theta(x-x') \\
&\quad + \phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x',\sigma)\Theta(x'-x))F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)A_{10}(\sigma)(\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\Theta(x-x') \\
&\quad + \phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\Theta(x'-x))F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)A_{11}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^{4} J_{2i}.
\end{aligned}$$

First, let us estimate J_{22}. Since $A_{01}(\sigma) = O(\sigma^{2\lambda-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma| \\
=&t^{-2\lambda}|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}\big(A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)\big)d\sigma| \\
\le&t^{-2\lambda}|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma| \\
&+ t^{-2\lambda}|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma)\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda-1}\partial_\sigma^{k}A_{01}(\sigma)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda-k}(\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma))d\sigma| \\
=&R_1 + R_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.6 and (8.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 \le&Ct^{-2\lambda}\left|\int_{-\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}^{\mathfrak{s}(x,x')} \cos(t\sigma)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,0}(x)\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda-1}\partial_\sigma^{k}A_{01}(\sigma)\times\right. \\
&\left.\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda-k}(\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)(1+h_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma))F(x,x',\sigma))d\sigma\right| \\
\le&Ct^{-2\lambda}\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda-1}\int_{-\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}^{\mathfrak{s}(x,x')} \sigma^{2\lambda-1-k}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}(\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda-k}+\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda-k})d\sigma \\
\le&Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+2}\langle x'\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)=\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)$. Then R_1 can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}R_1\leq&|t^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)\chi(\sigma t)d\sigma|+|t^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)(1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma|\\=&R_{11}+R_{12}\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)$ can be estimated as

$$|\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)|\leq C\frac{1}{\sigma}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}\quad\text{and}\quad|\partial_\sigma\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)|\leq C\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}.\tag{8.8}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}R_{11}\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\sin(t\sigma)|}{|\sigma|}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}\chi(\sigma t)d\sigma\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\sin\sigma|}{|\sigma|}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}\chi(\sigma)d\sigma\\\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1},\end{aligned}$$

and integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}R_{12}\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda-1}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\cos(\sigma t)\partial_\sigma\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)(1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma|\\&+Ct^{-2\lambda-1}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\cos(\sigma t)\omega_2(x,x',\sigma)\chi'(\sigma t)td\sigma|\\\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\cos(\sigma t)|}{\sigma^2}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}(1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma\\&+Ct^{-2\lambda-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\cos(\sigma t)|}{\sigma}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}|\chi'(\sigma t)|td\sigma\\\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\int_{1/2}^{\infty}\frac{|\cos\sigma|}{\sigma^2}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}d\sigma+Ct^{-2\lambda}\int_{1/2}^{1}\frac{|\cos\sigma|}{\sigma}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}d\sigma\\\leq&Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x,\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+2}\langle x'\rangle.$$

Note that $F(x,x',\sigma)=F(x',x,\sigma)$, so

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(t\sigma)A_{01}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{\lambda+2}\langle x\rangle.$$

Hence

$$|J_{22}|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+2}\langle x'\rangle^{\lambda+2}.$$

Using the same method, we have

$$\sum_{i=3}^{4}|J_{2i}|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.$$

Now we analyze J_{21}. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}J_{21}=&\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)A_{00}(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma\\=&-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)\frac{1}{\sigma}\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma\\&+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)(A_{00}(\sigma)+\frac{1}{\sigma})\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma\\=&\bar{J}_{21}+\tilde{J}_{21}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the analysis similar to that for $\tilde{J}_{12}$ and J_{22}, we have

$$|\tilde{J}_{21}|\le Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.$$

Let

$$E_{ij}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\sin(\sigma t)}{\sigma}\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)g_i(x,\sigma)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')g_j(x',\sigma)F(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma$$

where

$$g_i(x,\sigma)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } i=0,\\ h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,\sigma), & \text{if } i=1.\end{cases}$$

Then $\bar{J}_{21}=-\sum_{i,j=0}^{1}E_{ij}$.

Since $\int_0^\infty\frac{\sin x}{x}dx=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $F(x,x',0)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&E_{00}-\pi\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\sin(\sigma t)}{\sigma}\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')(F(x,x',\sigma)-1)d\sigma\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')\int_0^1\partial_3F(x,x',\theta\sigma)d\theta d\sigma.\end{aligned}\tag{8.9}$$

If $|\sigma|\ge 1$, then it follows from the definition of $F(x,x',\sigma)$ that

$$|\int_0^1\partial_\sigma^kF(x,x',\theta\sigma)d\theta|=|\int_0^{\sigma_0/|\sigma|}\partial_\sigma^kF(x,x',\theta\sigma)d\theta|\le C\sigma^{-1}(\langle x\rangle^k+\langle x'\rangle^k).\tag{8.10}$$

Integrating by parts k times for (8.9) gives

$$|E_{00}-\pi\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')|\le Ct^{-k}(\langle x\rangle^{k+1}+\langle x'\rangle^{k+1})$$

for any $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, where the boundary terms vanish due to (8.10).

We are now in a position to estimate E_{01}. Note that $h_{-,\lambda,1}(x,0)=0$, so

$$\frac{h_{-,\lambda,1}(x_1,x_2)}{x_2}=\int_0^1\partial_2h_{-,\lambda,1}(x_1,\theta x_2)d\theta.$$

Thus

$$E_{01}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')F(x,x',\sigma)\int_0^1\partial_2h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)d\theta d\sigma.$$

Let $\omega_3(x,x',\sigma) = F(x,x',\sigma)\int_0^1 \partial_2 h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)d\theta$. Obviously, for $|\sigma| \geq \sigma_0 \geq \mathfrak{s}(x,x')$, $F(x,x',\sigma)=0$, thus $\partial_\sigma^k \omega_3(x,x',\sigma)=0$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $x,\, x'\in\mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(\sigma t)\omega_3(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma = t^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(\sigma t)\partial_\sigma^{2\lambda}\omega_3(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma\\
=&t^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(\sigma t)F(x,x',\sigma)\int_0^1 \partial_2^{2\lambda+1}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)\theta^{2\lambda}d\theta\chi(\sigma t)d\sigma\\
&+t^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(\sigma t)F(x,x',\sigma)[\int_0^1 \partial_2^{2\lambda+1}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)\theta^{2\lambda}d\theta](1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma\\
&+t^{-2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(\sigma t)\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda-1}\partial_3^{2\lambda-k}F(x,x',\sigma)\int_0^1 \partial_2^{k+1}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)\theta^k d\theta d\sigma\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^3 S_i.
\end{aligned}$$

First, using Lemma 6.6 and (8.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_3| \leq& Ct^{-2\lambda}\int_{-\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}^{\mathfrak{s}(x,x')}\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda-1}(\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda-k}+\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda-k})\langle x'\rangle^{k+1}d\sigma\\
\leq& Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (6.30) that

$$|\partial_2^{2\lambda+1}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)| \leq C\frac{1}{|\theta\sigma|}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_1| \leq& \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\frac{|\sin(\sigma t)|}{|\sigma|}\chi(\sigma t)d\sigma \leq t^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\sin\sigma|}{|\sigma|}\chi(\sigma)d\sigma\\
\leq& Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $F(x,x',\sigma)(1-\chi(\sigma t))=0$ for $|\sigma|\leq 1/(2t)$ or $|\sigma|>\sigma_0$, integrating by parts yeilds

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_2| \leq& t^{-2\lambda-1}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\cos(\sigma t)\partial_\sigma F(x,x',\sigma)[\int_0^1 \partial_2^{2\lambda+1}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)\theta^{2\lambda}d\theta](1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma|\\
&+t^{-2\lambda-1}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\cos(\sigma t)F(x,x',\sigma)[\int_0^1 \partial_2^{2\lambda+2}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)\theta^{2\lambda+1}d\theta](1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma|\\
&+t^{-2\lambda-1}|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\cos(\sigma t)F(x,x',\sigma)[\int_0^1 \partial_2^{2\lambda+1}h_{-,\lambda,1}(x',\theta\sigma)\theta^{2\lambda}d\theta]\chi'(\sigma t)td\sigma|\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^3 S_{2i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}|S_{21}| \leq& Ct^{-2\lambda-1}\int_{t/2}^{\sigma_0}\frac{|\cos(\sigma t)|}{\sigma}(\langle x\rangle+\langle x'\rangle)\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}(1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma\\ =&Ct^{-2\lambda-1}\int_{1/2}^{\sigma_0 t}\frac{|\cos\sigma|}{\sigma}(\langle x\rangle+\langle x'\rangle)\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}(1-\chi(\sigma))d\sigma\\ \leq&Ct^{-2\lambda-1}\ln t(\langle x\rangle+\langle x'\rangle)\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{22} \leq& t^{-2\lambda-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\cos(\sigma t)|}{t}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}(1-\chi(\sigma t))d\sigma\\ \leq& Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\int_{1/2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sigma^2}d\sigma\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{23} \leq& t^{-2\lambda-1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|\cos(\sigma t)|}{\sigma}|\chi'(\sigma t)|td\sigma\\ \leq& Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}\int_{1/2}^{1}\frac{|\cos(\sigma)|}{\sigma}|\chi'(\sigma)|d\sigma\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|S_2|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}.$$

Summing the estimates for S_i (i=1, 2, 3) together, we have

$$|E_{01}|=|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(\sigma t)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')\omega_3(x,x',\sigma)d\sigma|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$|E_{10}|+|E_{11}|\leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\langle x'\rangle^{2\lambda+1}$$

Combining all estimates together finishes the proof of Lemma 8.3. □

In order to deal with the Green's function that involves $f_\lambda^-(x',\sigma)$ for $x'\geq 0$ and $f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)$ for $x\leq 0$ we have to estimate the reflection and transmission coefficients $a(\sigma)$ and $b(\sigma)$ defined in (5.11). It follows from (5.13) that

$$\frac{b(\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-,f_\lambda^+)(\sigma)}=-\frac{i}{2\sigma}. \tag{8.11}$$

The following proposition provides the estimate for $a(\sigma)$.

Proposition 8.4. *For $|\sigma|\leq\sigma_0$, we have*

$$\frac{a(\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-,f_\lambda^+)(\sigma)}=-\frac{i}{2\sigma}(1+O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^{\varepsilon})), \tag{8.12}$$

where the $O_{\mathbb{C}}-$term is of symbol type.

Proof: It follows from (5.11) that

$$W(f_\lambda^-, \overline{f_\lambda^+})(\sigma) = -2i\sigma a(\sigma).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{a(\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)(\sigma)} = -\frac{W(f_\lambda^-, \overline{f_\lambda^+})}{2i\sigma W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)}. \tag{8.13}$$

From Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 we conclude that

$$W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)(\sigma) = c_{\lambda,1}^-(\sigma)c_{\lambda,0}^+(\sigma) - c_{\lambda,0}^-(\sigma)c_{\lambda,1}^+(\sigma) = -\frac{\alpha_{\lambda,0}\beta_\lambda}{B_0}\sigma^{-\lambda+1}(1 + O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^\varepsilon)) \tag{8.14}$$

and

$$W(f_\lambda^-, \overline{f_\lambda^+})(\sigma) = c_{\lambda,1}^-(\sigma)\overline{c_{\lambda,0}^+(\sigma)} - c_{\lambda,0}^-(\sigma)\overline{c_{\lambda,1}^+(\sigma)} = \frac{\alpha_{\lambda,0}\beta_\lambda}{B_0}\sigma^{-\lambda+1}(1 + O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^\varepsilon)).$$

Thus

$$\frac{W(f_\lambda^-, \overline{f_\lambda^+})(\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)(\sigma)} = -1 + O_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma^\varepsilon). \tag{8.15}$$

Then estimate (8.12) is a consequence of (8.13) and (8.15). □

Lemma 8.5. *Let* $F_2(x, x', \sigma) = \chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)\chi_\delta(\sigma x)(1 - \chi_\delta(\sigma x'))$ *and* $l \geq 1$. *Then we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \cos(t\sigma) \frac{f_\lambda^-(x', \sigma) f_\lambda^+(x, \sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)} F_2(x, x', \sigma) d\sigma \right| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-l}\langle x\rangle^{l+\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{l+\lambda} \tag{8.16}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma) \frac{f_\lambda^-(x', \sigma) f_\lambda^+(x, \sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)} F_2(x, x', \sigma) d\sigma \right| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-l+1}\langle x\rangle^{l+\lambda}\langle x'\rangle^{l+\lambda}. \tag{8.17}$$

Proof: First, if $x' = 0$, then $F_2(x, x', \sigma) = 0$. Thus estimates (8.16) and (8.17) are trivial.

Second, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x' < 0$, $|\sigma| < \sigma_0$, $|\sigma x| \leq \delta$ and $|\sigma x'| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Obviously, we have

$$|\partial_\sigma^k F_2(x, x', \sigma)| \leq C(\langle x\rangle^k + \langle x'\rangle^k).$$

For Γ_λ^+ in (5.2), it follows from (8.1) that

$$\Gamma_\lambda^+(x, \sigma) = e^{-i\sigma x}(-c_{\lambda,1}^+(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x, \sigma) + c_{\lambda,0}^+(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x, \sigma)).$$

It follows from Lemmas 6.5 and 7.1 that

$$|\partial_\sigma^k(c_{\lambda,1}^+(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,0}(x, \sigma))| \leq C\langle x\rangle^{\lambda+1}|\sigma|^{\lambda-k} \leq C|\sigma|^{-k}\langle x\rangle$$

and

$$|\partial_\sigma^k(c_{\lambda,0}^+(\sigma)\phi_{-,\lambda,1}(x, \sigma))| \leq C|\sigma|^{-\lambda-k},$$

which implies

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \Gamma_\lambda^+(x, \sigma)| \leq C|\sigma|^{-\lambda-k} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \delta\sigma^{-1} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8.18}$$

Using Lemma 5.3, we have

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \Gamma_\lambda^-(x',\sigma)| \le C_k \quad \text{for } x' \le -\frac{\delta}{2}|\sigma^{-1}|. \tag{8.19}$$

Define

$$\omega_4(x,x',\sigma) = \sigma \frac{\Gamma_\lambda^-(x',\sigma)\Gamma_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)} F_2(x,x',\sigma). \tag{8.20}$$

Then using $|\sigma|^{-1} \le \langle x' \rangle$, (8.14), (8.18), and (8.19) yields

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \omega_4(x,x',\sigma)| \le C\langle x'\rangle^k.$$

If $|t+x-x'| \ge \frac{1}{2}t$, we integrate by parts l-times to obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\sigma(\pm t + x - x')} \omega_4(x,x',\sigma) d\sigma \right| \le C|\pm t + x - x'|^{-l} \langle x\rangle^l \langle x'\rangle^l \le C\langle t\rangle^{-l}\langle x\rangle^l\langle x'\rangle^l, \tag{8.21}$$

where we used the fact $\omega_4(x,x',\sigma) = 0$ for $|\sigma| \le \frac{\delta}{2|x'|}$. If $|\pm t + x - x'| \le \frac{1}{2}t$, we have $\langle x\rangle^{-l}\langle x'\rangle^{-l} \le C\langle t\rangle^{-l}$ as $t \to \infty$ and thus

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\sigma(\pm t + x - x')} \omega_4(x,x',\sigma) d\sigma \right| \le C\langle t\rangle^{-l}\langle x\rangle^l\langle x'\rangle^l. \tag{8.22}$$

Therefore, we obtain (8.16) for $x' \le 0$.

Finally, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x' > 0$, $|\sigma| \le \sigma_0$, $|\sigma x| \le \delta$ and $|\sigma x'| \ge \frac{\delta}{2}$. Using (5.11) and (8.1), we can represent $\Gamma_\lambda^-(x',\sigma)$ as

$$\Gamma_\lambda^-(x',\sigma) = a(\sigma)e^{2i\sigma x'}\Gamma_\lambda^+(x',\sigma) + b(\sigma)\overline{\Gamma_\lambda^+(x',\sigma)}. \tag{8.23}$$

Then $\omega_4(x,x',\sigma)$ defined in (8.20) becomes

$$\omega_4(x,x',\sigma) = \sigma \frac{(a(\sigma)e^{2i\sigma x'}\Gamma_\lambda^+(x',\sigma) + b(\sigma)\overline{\Gamma_\lambda^+(x',\sigma)})\Gamma_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)} F_2(x,x',\sigma). \tag{8.24}$$

Furthermore, since $|\sigma|$ is small, it follows from Lemma 6.10 that

$$\Gamma_\lambda^+(x',\sigma) = e^{-i\sigma x'}\varphi_\lambda(\sigma x',\sigma) = e^{-i\sigma x'}\beta_\lambda\sqrt{\sigma x'}H_{\lambda+1/2}(\sigma x')(1 + \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(\sigma x',\sigma))$$

and

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(\sigma x',\sigma)| \le C\langle x'\rangle^k. \tag{8.25}$$

This implies that

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \Gamma_\lambda^+(x',\sigma)| \le C\langle x'\rangle^k \quad \text{for } x' > \frac{\delta}{2}\sigma^{-1} \text{ and for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8.26}$$

The estimates (8.11), (8.12), (8.18), and (8.26) yield

$$|\partial_\sigma^k \omega_4(x,x',\sigma)| \le C\langle x'\rangle^{k+\lambda}. \tag{8.27}$$

Therefore, as the proof for (8.21) and (8.22) for $x' < 0$, we get (8.16) for $x' > 0$.

For the sine evolution, note that there will be no σ factor in ω_4, therefore, we can integrate by part $l-1$ times, which gives the decay t^{-l+1} in (8.17).

□

Similarly, we have the following estimate, whose proof is similar to that for Lemma 8.5 or that in [13, Lemmas 8.3, 8.6, 8.7].

Lemma 8.6. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the following estimates hold:*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \cos(t\sigma) \frac{f_\lambda^-(x',\sigma) f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)} \chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)(1-\chi_\delta(x\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \le C \langle t \rangle^{-l} \langle x \rangle^l \langle x' \rangle^l$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin(t\sigma) \frac{f_\lambda^-(x',\sigma) f_\lambda^+(x,\sigma)}{W(f_\lambda^-, f_\lambda^+)} \chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)(1-\chi_\delta(x\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \le C \langle t \rangle^{-l+1} \langle x \rangle^l \langle x' \rangle^l.$$

8.3. **Oscillatory Integral Estimates for Large Energies.** In the case of $\sigma \ge \sigma_0$, there will be no singularity in Green's function $G_{-,\lambda}$ by Lemma 5.4. Using Lemma 5.2, similar to [13, Proposition 9.1 and Corollary 9.2], we have the following two estimates.

Proposition 8.7. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. Then we have the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma e^{\pm it\sigma} G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)(1-\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)) \langle x \rangle^{-l} \langle x' \rangle^{-l} w(x') dx' d\sigma \right| \\ \le & C \langle t \rangle^{-l} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|w'(x')| + |w(x')|) dx' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pm it\sigma} G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)(1-\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)) \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha} \langle x' \rangle^{-\alpha} w(x') dx' d\sigma \right| \\ \le & C \langle t \rangle^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w(x')| dx' \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \ge 0$ and any $w \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

8.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]u_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma\\
=&\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]u_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma\\
=&\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]u_{-,\lambda}(x')\Big[F(x,x',\sigma)+F_2(x,x',\sigma)\\
&\quad+\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)(1-\chi_\delta(\sigma x))+(1-\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma))\Big]dx'd\sigma\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^4 I_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.2 implies that

$$|I_1(t,x)|\le Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{2\lambda}u_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}.$$

Furthermore, Lemma 8.5 gives

$$|I_2|\le Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{3\lambda}\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda}u_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}.$$

It follows from Lemma 8.6 and Proposition 8.7 that

$$|I_3|+|I_4|\le Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda}(\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{2\lambda}u_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}+\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{2\lambda}u'_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}).$$

Combining the estimates for I_i $(i=1,\cdots,4)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle x\rangle^{-3\lambda}\left|\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma\cos(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]u_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma\right|\\
\le&Ct^{-2\lambda}\Big(\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda}u_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}+\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda}u'_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.28}
$$

Similar to the cosine integral, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]v_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma\\
=&\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]v_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma\\
=&\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]v_{-,\lambda}(x')\Big[F(x,x',\sigma)+F_2(x,x',\sigma)\\
&\quad+\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma)(1-\chi_\delta(\sigma x))+(1-\chi_{\sigma_0}(\sigma))\Big]dx'd\sigma\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^4 S_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.3 implies that

$$\left|S_1 + D\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\right| \leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\|v_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\langle\cdot\rangle^{2\lambda+1}\|_{L^1},$$

where $D = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x')v_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'$. It follows from Lemmas 8.5, 8.6, and Proposition 8.7 that

$$|S_2 + S_3 + S_4| \leq Ct^{-2\lambda}\langle x\rangle^{-3\lambda-1}\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda+1}v_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}.$$

Combining the estimates for I_i $(i = 1, \cdots, 5)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle x\rangle^{-3\lambda-1}\left|-\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sin(t\sigma)\mathrm{Im}[G_{-,\lambda}(x,x',\sigma)]v_{-,\lambda}(x')dx'd\sigma - D\hat{\phi}_{-,\lambda,1}(x)\right| \\ \leq& Ct^{-2\lambda}\|\langle\cdot\rangle^{3\lambda+1}v_{-,\lambda}(\cdot)\|_{L^1}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.29}$$

The estimates (8.28) and (8.29) prove estimate (1.13).

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

Appendix A. Volterra Integral Equations

In this appendix, we state three useful lemmas on the existence and estimates for solutions of Volterra integral equations. This first one concerns existence and L^∞ estimate. Its proof can be found in [11].

Lemma A.1. *Let $g \in L^\infty(a,b)$. Suppose the integral kernel K satisfies*

$$\mu = \int_a^b \sup_{x\in(a,y)} |K(x,y)|dy < \infty.$$

Then the Volterra integral equation

$$f(x) = g(x) + \int_x^b K(x,y)f(y)dy \tag{A.1}$$

has a unique solution satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \leq e^\mu\|g\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}.$$

Similarly, suppose that

$$\nu = \int_a^b \sup_{x\in(y,b)} |K(x,y)|dy < \infty.$$

Then the Volterra equation

$$f(x) = g(x) + \int_a^x K(x,y)f(y)dy$$

has a unique solution satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(a,b)} \leq e^\nu\|g\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}.$$

Finally, we have the following two lemmas on the derivatives of solutions for Volterra integral equations. The proofs can be found in [13].

Lemma A.2. *If, in addition to the assumptions of Lemma A.1, $g \in C^\infty(a,b)$ and the kernel K is smooth in both variables on (a,b) and satisfies*

$$\int_a^b |\partial_x^k K(x,y)| dy < \infty$$

for any $x \geq a$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the solution f is smooth on (a,b). Furthermore, the derivatives of f in (A.1) *can be calculated by formal differentiation, i.e.,*

$$f^{(k)}(x) = g^{(k)}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\kappa_j f)^{(k-1-j)}(x) + \int_x^b \partial_x^k K(x,y) f(y) dy$$

where $\kappa_j(x) = \partial_x^j K(x,y)|_{y=x}$.

Lemma A.3. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open and suppose*

$$\int_a^b \sup_{x\in(a,y)} |\partial_\sigma^m K(x,y,\sigma)| dy < \infty$$

as well as $\partial_\sigma^m g(\cdot,\sigma) \in L^\infty(a,b)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\sigma \in I$. Then the Volterra equation

$$f(x,\sigma) = g(x,\sigma) + \int_x^b K(x,y,\sigma) f(y,\sigma) dy$$

has a unique solution $f(x,\sigma)$ for all $x \geq a$ and $\sigma \in I$ which is smooth in σ. Furthermore, we have $\partial_\sigma^m f(\cdot,\sigma) \in L^\infty(a,b)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and the derivatives are given by

$$\partial_\sigma^m f(x,\sigma) = \partial_\sigma^m g(x,\sigma) + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array} \right) \int_x^b \partial_\sigma^j K(x,y,\sigma) \partial_\sigma^{m-j} f(y,\sigma) dy.$$

Acknowledgments: Part of the work was done when Xie was visiting The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong. He thanks The Institute for its support and hospitality.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Alinhac, *Energy multipliers for perturbations of the Schwarzschild metric*, Comm. Math. Phys. **288** (2009), no. 1, 199–224.

[2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 71. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[3] P. Blue and A. Soffer, *Semilinear wave equations on the Schwarzschild manifold. I. Local decay estimates*, Adv. Differential Equations, **8** (2003), no. 5, 595–614.

[4] P. Blue and A. Soffer, *The wave equation on the Schwarzschild metric. II. Local decay for the spin-2 Regge-Wheeler equation*, J. Math. Phys., **46** (2005), no. 1, 012502–9.

[5] P. Blue and J. Sterbenz, *Uniform decay of local energy and the semi-linear wave equation on Schwarzschild space*, Comm. Math. Phys. **268** (2006), no. 2, 481–504.

[6] P. Blue, Decay of the Maxwell field on the Schwarzschild manifold, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **5** (2008), no. 4, 807–856.

[7] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes*, International Series of Monographs on Physics, **69**, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1983.

[8] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *A proof of Price's law for the collapse of a self-gravitating scalar field*, Invent. Math. **162** (2005), no. 2, 381–457.

[9] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *A note on energy currents and decay for the wave equation on a Schwarzschild background* , 2007,arXiv:0710.0171.

[10] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *The red-shift effect and radiation decay on black hole spacetimes*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), no. 7, 859–919.

[11] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse scattering on the line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **32** (1979), no. 2, 121–251.

[12] R. Donninger and W. Schlag, *Decay estimates for the one-dimensional wave equation with an inverse power potential*, 2010, arXiv:0911.3174v2.

[13] R. Donninger, W. Schlag, and A. Soffer, *A proof of Price's Law on Schwarzschild black hole manifolds for all angular momenta*, Adv. Math., **226** (2011), no. 1, 484–540,

[14] R. Donninger, W. Schlag, and A. Soffer, *On pointwise decay of linear waves on a Schwarzschild black hole background*, 2009, arXiv:0911.3179.

[15] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller, and S. T. Yau, *Decay rates and probability estimates for massive Dirac particles in the Kerr-Newman black hole geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys., **230** (2002), no. 2, 201–244.

[16] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller, and S. T. Yau, *The long-time dynamics of Dirac particles in the Kerr-Newman black hole geometry*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **7** (2003), no. 1, 25–52.

[17] F. Finster and J. Smoller, *Decay of solutions of the Teukolsky equation for higher spin in the Schwarzschild geometry*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **13** (2009), no. 1, 71–110.

[18] J. N. Goldberg, A. J. Macfarlane, E. T. Newman, F. Rohrlich, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Spin-s spherical harmonics and* ð, J. Mathematical Phys. **8** (1967), 2155–2161.

[19] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, No. 1, Cambridge University Press, London, 1973.

[20] J. Kronthaler, *The Cauchy problem for the wave equation in the Schwarzschild geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006), no. 4, 042501-29.

[21] J. Kronthaler, *Decay Rates for Spherical Scalar Waves in the Schwarzschild Geometry*, 2007, arXiv:0709.3703.

[22] J. Luk, *Improved decay for solutions to the linear wave equation on a Schwarzschild black hole*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **11** (2010), no. 5, 805–880.

[23] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, *Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity*, Phys. Rev. (2), **108** (1957), 1063–1069.

[24] D. Tataru, *Local decay of waves on asymptotically flat stationary space-times*, 2009, arXiv:0910.5290.

[25] G. Teschl, *Mathematical methods in quantum mechanics*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **99**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[26] S. Teukolsky and W. H. Press, *Perturbations of a rotating black hole. III. Interaction of the hole with gravitational and electromagnetic radiation*, Astrophys. J. **193** (1974), 443–461.

[27] G. F. Torres del Castillo, *3-D spinors, spin-weighted functions and their applications*, Progress in Mathematical Physics, **32**, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.

[28] F. Twainy, *The time decay of solutions to the scalar wave equation in Schwarzschild background*, 1989, Ph.D Thesis, UCSD.

[29] B. F. Whiting, *Mode stability of the Kerr black hole*, J. Math. Phys., **30** (1989), no. 6, 1301–1305.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH ST. ANN ARBOR, MI 48109 USA

E-mail address: `smoller@umich.edu`

E-mail address: `cjxie@umich.edu`